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The Five Essential Public Health Law Services
Building good policy ideas

Access to Evidence & Expertise

- Assess evidence and best practices
- Assess historic use of law for intentional inequities
- Assess political climate, including allies and opponents
- Assess readiness of the community to take on a campaign
- Identify potential policy targets
Finding the strongest legal approach

- Assess authority to act
- Ensure evidentiary justification per level of scrutiny
- Issue spot potential problems
- Link legal strategies with community priorities
- Design winnable strategy
- Ensure enforcement and accountability at outset
Getting good ideas into law

- Educate and inform
- Build coalitions
- Organize communities
- Participate in public processes
- Strategic communications
- Lobby
Implementation

Support For Enforcing & Defending Legal Solutions

- Ensure financing
- Implement effectively
- Create accountabilities
- Defend if challenged
Learning and diffusing what works

Policy Surveillance and Evaluation

- Create data to evaluate laws
- Measure progress of legal campaigns
- Measure outcomes/success/unintended consequences
- Make legal information publicly accessible
There’s a lot of new thinking about the old art of persuasion.

- Judgements of fact, risk assessments, predictions about the future – are all made using shortcuts of which we are not consciously aware.
- These cognitive processes are necessary, amazing – and conducive to bias and error.

Science: “You Can’t Trust Your Brain”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System 1</th>
<th>System 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatic</td>
<td>Lazy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconscious</td>
<td>Unconscious of System 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deploys heuristics → biases*</td>
<td>Rational, but trusts System 1’s input</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Representativeness, availability, confirmation, affect etc…
Today

Sue Lynn offers a practitioner’s approach to inclusive messaging

Gene takes us into Haidt

Gary offers a faith-based approach

Help Engaging Communities & Building Political Will
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Moral Foundations Theory (MFT)
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Quick Overview
Moral Foundations Theory
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Moral Foundations Theory
(understanding evolutionary moral psychology)

SOCIAL & POLITICAL JUDGMENTS ARE PARTICULARLY INTUITIVE

Intuitions come first, strategic reasoning second

90% = Intuitive Elephant
10% = Rational Brain

Haidt’s Six Moral Foundations

1. Care/Harm
2. Liberty/Oppression
3. Fairness/Cheating
4. Loyalty/Betrayal
5. Authority/Subversion
6. Sanctity/Degradation

Haidt’s Six Moral Foundations

1. Care/Harm
   Reflects the base of Maslow’s Hierarchy of Needs (Security, Shelter, Food, Water, Warmth)

2. Liberty/Oppression
   Physical and Mental Freedom
   Social Intolerance of Bullies

3. Fairness/Cheating
   Equality of Opportunities
   Social Intolerance of “Free-Riders”

4. **Loyalty/Betrayal**
   Personal Trust, Group Identity, Patriotism
   Social isolation of those who **betray**

5. **Authority/Subversion**
   Competitive advantage of organized groups
   Deference to “good” leaders (Alexander the Great)
   Social intolerance of those who **subvert** the system

6. **Sanctity/Degradation**
   Not simply a religious value
   Respect for the human spirit
   Social aversion of personal **degradation**

Haidt’s Moral Matrix for Populations Can Be Measured

- Care/Harm
- Liberty/Oppression
- Fairness/Cheating
- Loyalty/Betrayal
- Authority/Subversion
- Sanctity/Degradation

The Liberal Moral Matrix (p. 351)
Most sacred value: Care for victims of oppression

The Conservative Moral Matrix (p. 357)
Most sacred value: Preserve the institutions and traditions of a moral community

Haidt’s “Three versus Six”  
(from Ch. 8, “The Conservative Advantage”)

The Liberal Moral Matrix (p. 351)  
[care for victims of oppression]

Care  Liberty  Fairness  Loyalty  Authority  Sanctity

The Conservative Moral Matrix (p. 357)  
[preservation of institutions of a moral community]

Care  Liberty  Fairness  Loyalty  Authority  Sanctity

Key Dimensions for Starting the Persuasive Public Health Conversation

- **Use of the full range of moral intuition**
  - *Bring loyalty and sanctity forward*
  - *Rely less reflexively on care and authority*

- **Control Inherent Self-Righteousness**

- **Empathy for opponents**

- **PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS MATTER**
  Always look for the “unexpected validators!”
COMMUNITY AWARENESS:
Think deeper about what is happening NOW to the specific community you are addressing?

KEY QUESTION: How does your message resonate with preserving the institutions & traditions of a moral community under stress?
NC State Health Director’s Conference
Crafting Richer Public Health Stories
Haidt Moral Foundations
Case Examples

Dr. Sue Lynn Ledford
Sue.ledford@wakegov.com
Start with Intentional Listening
Do Not Assume You Heard What Was Said

• **Listen to both sides** and seek common ground
• **Pause to reflect**
• **Avoid the assumption** that you know their values (public values)
• **Craft relationships** prior to a need (this is not just for political figures)
• **Establish trust**: NEVER deceive or twist the facts
PH as a neutral convener –
Seeking common ground

1. Drug epidemic (Moral Foundations – Care, Loyalty, and Authority)
2. School Based Health Clinics in Rural Western NC (Moral Foundations – Sanctity and Authority)
3. Healthy Corner Store Initiative – (Moral Foundations – Fairness and Care)

   – Framed it to support small businesses not a social program
   – Tax incentives (benefits local stakeholders)
   – Supporting farmers (Ag huge business in NC)
Unlikely Success? Example: – Aids Drug Assistance Programs

• **Be smart:** Who is the best fit to meet with various political entities?

• **NC Coalition Aids Network and PH:**
  – **Research**/ know as much as possible about the elected official; but, more importantly – **listen**. There may be a secondary person behind the political persona.
  
  – **Be able to frame** the issue to someone who does not want to be known as supportive of social causes.
    • Provide language they can use. “Smart economics.” “Saves Medicaid dollars.”
    • Because the plan actually is smart.
    • Do not try to manipulate the facts.
  
  – **Story of Senator** - You do not need to make every point. “Once you sell the horse be quiet, shake hands, and move on.”
  
  – **Don’t celebrate loudly.** (The amendment can quietly slide through on the budget bill.)
  
  – If possible best if the main bill sponsor is someone with an **uncontested primary.**
Another Case Example of Unlikely Success
– The NC Needle Exchange Program and Naloxone

NC Harm Reduction Coalition
and Public Health Partnerships

• **Syringe Access – succeeded**
  – “On July 11, 2016 Governor McCrory signed a bill that legalizes syringe exchange programs in North Carolina.”

• **Overdose Prevention – succeeded (standing order by State PH Director)**
  – “North Carolina became the third state in the nation with a standing order to allow pharmacists to give naloxone to people without a doctor’s prescription.”

• **Hepatitis C**
  – “Syringe exchange programs are one of the most effective public health interventions for decreasing the transmission rates of HIV and other blood borne diseases such as hepatitis C, as well as connect users to treatment and other important needs such as housing or food assistance.”

• **Drugs and Drug Users**

[Heroin Deaths in North Carolina 2008 - 2014](http://www.nchrc.org/syringe-exchange/pharmacy-syringe-sales/)

Syringe Exchange Legal in NC

NC Deaths

Heroin Deaths in North Carolina

565% Increase
In Heroin Related Deaths From 2010 - 2014
Public Health Expresses Moral possibilities

• Haidt knows little of public health history

• And less about public health’s religious history as a sanctified movement
Haidt overlooks his own actual life

• He underestimates social capacities to transcend (not escape) “nature” by which we transform the world and ourselves

• His *thinking*, together with its empirical exploration of the social phenomena and his expressed *hope* that this will help us act “*more morally*” would be impossible without the capacities he dramatically underplays (Cochrane)

• He asks us to be resigned and thus *complicit* while modeling the opposite (violating all six of his own values)

• Haidt argues an intellectual case using tools he denies exist
Counterpoint: American Grace (Putnam)

- Distinctive US phenomenon of polarization that maps along lines of religion and politics

- AND ever-increasing *lived* complexity across all those lines
  - “Aunt Sue" pattern: every family knows someone.....
  - That manages to live across all the lines

- In recent years politics and religion reinforce polarization
  - on two big issues
  - but NOT on many other big issues

- Expect to be surprised by **real people**
Aligning Religious Health Assets in NC

- Mediating social structures relevant to health:
  - FFT’s (faith forming things)
  - 3,600 NCBC
  - 2,000 GBSC
  - 2,000 UMC
  - Hundreds of other varieties
  - And their non-profits
Jesus’ Favorite People (JFP’s)

Helen Milleson, BA, FaithHealth Navigator, Randolph FaithHealth, Randolph Hospital, Asheboro, NC
JFP Case Study

• 38 Year old Male with kidney disease
• Living with mom in camper on “friends” property
• No access to toilet facilities at night
• Water came from hose
• Couldn’t pay for catheters
• Recurrent infections turning into sepsis

• Helen Milleson, BA, FaithHealth Navigator, Randolph FaithHealth, Randolph Hospital, Asheboro
Before Intervention, 4/22/15 to 9/22/15
• $30,486.25. Includes inpatient costs, donations for catheters, housing), cost of FH navigator hours.

After Intervention, 9/22/15 to 4/22/16
• $1,283.58. Includes inpatient costs ($0), catheters, cost of FH Connector and Navigator hours

FOR A TOTAL ROI OF $29,202.67!
Haidt, hospital and *public health* forget the evidence of the audacity of creative moral freedom

- **Caring** (yes)
- **Fair** (way more than and wildly disproportionate)
- **Loyal** (sometimes to the stranger)
- **Liberty** (free to find a way out no way)
- **Authority** (Helen used it release the rules)
- **Sanctity** as energy for moral freedom

*Aligned by skillful, high integrity faith practitioners doing as they were taught using their role as agents of health*
Public health embodies moral imagination

- We come from a messy family but tend to talk of only one parent: empirical rationality
- We need our mother: complex, messy humans--the proper subject of public health
- Theory AND practice for dynamic complex ever-emergent populations
- Haidt helps, but we can do better from our own history of moral tenacity and humility, both of which we have betrayed many times, but won't give up
- We, too, are “wonderfully and complexly made” (Psalms 91)
  - As is the public we hope for
Alignment by denomination, county, or local ministerial affiliation
- Network Builders
- Patient Referral Pathway
- Build capacity of congregations

Denominational Liaisons and other staff

3+ Community Chaplains
- SNFs (WSNR)
- Homeless
- Clinic for underserved (DHP)
- Dialysis centers

6 Supporter of Health
Focused on Vulnerable Communities
- High Charity Costs
- Target Zip Codes/Census Tracts

30 Connectors
Part-time contract staff

Connegations
2024 Visiting Clergy
Coordinate volunteer follow up and response

346 Trained Volunteers
1079

14 FaithHealth Fellows

Full-time staff

Coordinate volunteer follow up and response

Community Roundtable
- Nonprofit Partners
- Congregations
- Connectors
- Supporters of Health
- Hospital departments

Paid Staff

Volunteers
QUESTIONS?
THOUGHTS?
(BACK-UP MATERIAL)
"Who Turned My Blue State Red?
Why poor areas vote for politicians who want to slash the safety net.
By ALEC MacGILLIS, NY Times, NOV. 20, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Quintile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top Income Quintile</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd Income Quintile</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Income Quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4th Income Quintile</strong> (The “Working Poor”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are Becoming MORE Likely to Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are Resonating to Conservative Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bottom Income Quintile</strong> (Using the Safety Net)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still Resonate to Liberal Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUT Are LESS Likely to Vote</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shifting Right!