North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services Division of Public Health

Annual Report to the North Carolina Medical Society

October 2015





State of North Carolina

Pat McCrory, Governor www.nc.gov

Department of Health and Human Services

Richard O. Brajer, Secretary www.ncdhhs.gov

Division of Public Health

Megan Davies, M.D., Acting State Health Director Daniel Staley, Acting Director www.publichealth.nc.gov

The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.

Table of Contents

| Background |
|---|
| Healthy North Carolina 2020: The State's Health Improvement Plan |
| Steps Taken by State and Non-State Entities to Meet Healthy NC 2020 Goals |
| Tobacco Use Healthy NC 2020 Objectives |
| What Medical Providers Can Do5 |
| Physical Activity and Nutrition Healthy NC 2020 Objectives5 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do6 |
| Maternal and Infant Health Healthy NC 2020 Objectives6 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do8 |
| Unintended Pregnancy Healthy NC 2020 Objective8 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do10 |
| Sexually Transmitted Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objectives |
| What Medical Providers Can Do11 |
| Substance Abuse Healthy NC 2020 Objectives11 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do13 |
| Infectious Disease and Foodborne Illness Healthy NC 2020 Objective13 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do15 |
| Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective15 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do16 |
| Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective16 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do17 |
| Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective |
| Other Key Health Issues |
| Oral Health |

| What Medical Providers Can Do | 19 |
|--|----|
| Hepatitis C Virus | 20 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do | 21 |
| Death Certification | 21 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do | 22 |
| Carbon Monoxide Exposure | 22 |
| What Medical Providers Can Do | 23 |
| Proposed or Planned Steps | 23 |
| References | 24 |
| Appendix A: Healthy North Carolina 2020 Objectives Compared to North Carolina Goals* and the United States | 25 |
| Appendix B: Additional County/Regional Data for Selected Healthy North Carolina 2020 Objectives | |

Background

General Statute 130A.33 requires the State Health Director to submit an annual report on public health at the general session of the annual meeting of the North Carolina Medical Society (NCMS) held conjointly with the Commission for Public Health meeting. This report serves this statutory requirement and is structured around North Carolina's state health improvement plan, **Healthy North Carolina 2020**.

Healthy North Carolina 2020: The State's Health Improvement Plan

According to the 2014 edition of *America's Health Rankings*, North Carolina ranked 37th in the nation.¹

The burden of premature morbidity and mortality reflected in our ranking highlights the need for improvements in population health. More than two-thirds of all deaths annually in North Carolina are attributed to chronic diseases and injuries.² The North Carolina State Center for Health Statistics has listed the top five causes of death in 2014 as cancer, heart disease, chronic lung disease, stroke and Alzheimer's disease.³

Based on the latest *America's Health Rankings* report, North Carolina's challenges include a high percentage of children in poverty, limited availability of dentists and a high prevalence of diabetes. Our state's low prevalence of binge drinking, low incidence of pertussis and high immunization rates among children are noted as strengths.⁴

The burden of diseases related to modifiable behaviors in our state has been high.

- The annual economic costs associated with unhealthy lifestyles are estimated at \$57.4 billion in North Carolina, with \$11.9 billion attributable to lack of physical activity, \$15.5 billion due to excess weight, \$3.1 billion associated with inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption and \$3.7 billion related to adult-onset (Type II) diabetes.⁵
- North Carolina's direct medical costs from smoking are estimated at \$3.81 billion each year, of which \$931 million are Medicaid costs. 6

A practical approach to address North Carolina's health care challenges has been to attempt to prevent these problems from occurring in the first place. Investing in prevention has been determined to save lives, reduce disability, and, in some cases, reduce health care costs as stated in the *Prevention Action Plan for North Carolina*. This statewide focus on prevention has been reflected in work by North Carolina's public health leaders, who began in 2008 to develop a vision and roadmap for focusing and improving public health efforts. The *Prevention Action Plan for North Carolina* (2009) also recognized evidence-based strategies as an important mechanism to improve population health.

North Carolina used this prevention framework to establish our state's **Healthy North Carolina 2020** (**Healthy NC 2020**) objectives, the most recent iteration of decennial health objectives our state has set beginning in 1990. The primary aim of this objective-setting process is to mobilize the state to achieve a common set of health objectives. Healthy People 2020 (www.healthypeople.gov) is a federal initiative with science-based, 10-year national objectives for improving the health of all Americans. **Healthy NC 2020** is a state health improvement plan with state specific, measurable objectives that were developed with the best available data and evidence. North Carolina's objectives are well aligned with federal objectives, though they were developed separately.

Healthy North Carolina 2020: A Better State of Health (2011) identified 40 objectives necessary to improve population health by 2020 and recommended the use of evidence-based strategies. Healthy NC 2020 serves as our state's health improvement plan, which was designed to address and improve our state's most pressing health priorities. These objectives provided a common set of health indicators that organizations and individuals across the state can work to improve, knowing their efforts are designed to lead to a healthier population. Each Healthy NC 2020 objective included a discrete quantifiable target that has enabled us to monitor progress toward achieving our goals. Appendix A provides a list of the 40 objectives, our state's baseline, targets and most current measures, as well as national measures for comparison (when available and applicable).

Steps Taken by State and Non-State Entities to Meet Healthy NC 2020 Goals

The mission of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Division of Public Health (DPH) is to promote and contribute to the highest possible level of health for all North Carolinians. North Carolina's public health system is an integrated network of partnerships among DPH and the state's 85 local health departments, as well as other divisions in DHHS, other state agencies, universities and non-governmental entities. Programs and services touch all citizens' lives in all 100 counties. Improving the health of our citizens requires a coordinated approach with ownership by and accountability from governmental and non-governmental entities as well as individuals themselves.

Local health departments and their community health partners complete health assessments every three or four years and develop local community health improvement plans to address the health needs of their citizens. Review of the most current community health assessments and improvement plans for local health departments indicated a core of **Healthy NC 2020** objectives has been selected by most local health departments as their most pressing health problems.

All DPH's programs and services have supported improvements in health as measured by the 40 **Healthy NC 2020** objectives. The following is a representative though not exhaustive summary of programs and services addressing the **Healthy NC 2020** objectives most frequently selected by local communities as their most pressing health issues. Appendix B provides disaggregated data by county, when available, for selected **Healthy NC 2020** objectives.

Tobacco Use Healthy NC 2020 Objectives

- ❖ Decrease the percentage of adults who are current smokers
- Decrease the percentage of high school students reporting current use of any tobacco product
- Decrease the percentage of people exposed to secondhand smoke in the workplace in the past seven days

Tobacco use remains the number one preventable cause of early death and disease (one in five deaths) in the United States. The 2014 Surgeon General's Report documents that for each death there are 30 more people who are sick or disabled because of tobacco use. Tobacco use is also a major concern among North Carolina's youth.

- North Carolina's high school smoking rate decreased from 27.3 percent in 2003 to 13.5 percent in 2014. However, progress has been confounded by the growing popularity of unconventional tobacco products such as electronic cigarettes and hookahs. And for the first time in a decade, overall tobacco use among high school students increased.
- The challenge for 2020 has been to regain the previous downward trend for all tobacco products. In 2013, the N.C. General Assembly passed a law to prohibit e-cigarette sales to minors. This law, as well as other laws, defines e-cigarettes as tobacco products. This success was achieved with input from physician leaders. In 2015, the North Carolina legislature also passed a bill to require child-resistant packaging for all e-liquid containers and labels for any e-liquid container that contains nicotine. This new law becomes effective Dec. 1, 2015.

The 2014 Surgeon General's Report also has provided continued strong evidence for the health risks associated with exposure to tobacco smoke, including new evidence that secondhand smoke is causative for stroke. Secondhand smoke causes premature death and disease in children and adults who do not smoke, and there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke.

- Workers in North Carolina restaurants and bars have been protected by a state smoke-free law since 2010, and many government workers are protected from secondhand smoke under local action. Private worksites are not smoke-free by law.
- Those who work in blue collar jobs, those of lower income and African Americans have been more likely exposed to secondhand smoke. In fact, a CDC study found that nearly seven in 10 African American children were exposed to secondhand smoke in the home, particularly in multi-unit housing that is not smoke-free. ¹⁰

The **DHHS' DPH's Tobacco Prevention and Control Branch** has worked with organizations and communities to build support for evidence-based policies and programs. Highlights include:

- ♦ QuitlineNC enrollments have continued to increase as tobacco users want to quit, and providers are increasingly referring to QuitlineNC, which now has recurring funding. The return on investment (ROI) for the State Health Plan's investment in QuitlineNC services for its members has been \$4 for every \$1 invested.
- ♦ Smoke-free and tobacco-free places have become increasingly the norm. Local smoke-free and tobacco-free places increase healthy environments and support healthy behaviors, including preventing young people from starting to use tobacco products and helping tobacco users who want to quit. Examples have included:

Seventy-five percent of North Carolina counties have a written smoke-free or tobacco-free regulation for government buildings, and 41 percent of municipalities have adopted written smoke-free or tobacco-free regulations for their buildings. Seventeen percent of counties and 11 percent of municipalities have adopted a 100 percent smoke-free or tobacco-free grounds regulations.

North Carolina's Affordable Housing properties have increasingly gone smoke-free in order to protect health and property and save money. The **N.C. Housing Finance Agency** has recently accepted the recommendations of the N.C. Alliance for Health, business owners and managers and has made North Carolina the second state in the nation to require smoke-free policies for properties receiving tax credit funding.

Mental Health and Substance Abuse Treatment state facilities have successfully implemented tobacco-free buildings and grounds policies and have provided tobacco cessation support to patients and staff. The DHHS' Division of Medical Assistance, the Division of Mental Health/Developmental Disabilities/Substance Abuse Services and the Division of Public Health have collaborated to make sure there is no wrong door for all tobacco users who want to quit, whether they are seen through medical or behavioral health clinics. These agencies have made tobacco cessation counseling along with FDA-approved medications for tobacco treatment more readily available for tobacco users.

◆ DPH's Children and Youth Branch, Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV) Program has made progress on the Healthy NC 2020 objectives for tobacco use by implementing federally-funded, evidence-based home visiting (Nurse-Family Partnership and Healthy Families America) in 12 counties in North Carolina. They are Buncombe, Burke, Columbus, Durham, Edgecombe, Gaston, Halifax, Hertford, Mitchell, Northampton, Robeson and Yancey. Data for the most recent reporting period (FY2014) indicate that 26.7 percent of pregnant women reduced their use of tobacco during pregnancy.

- ♦ For tobacco users who want to quit, promote clinical referrals to **QuitlineNC** (1-800-QuitNow or 1-800-784-8669) through fax referral, secured email or an electronic health records system.
- ♦ Educate patients and the public about the known health risks for electronic cigarettes and secondhand aerosol.

Physical Activity and Nutrition Healthy NC 2020 Objectives

- ❖ Increase the percentage of high school students who are neither overweight nor obese
- ❖ Increase the percentage of adults getting the recommended amount of physical activity
 - ♦ The DHHS' Division of Public Health's Community and Clinical Connections for Prevention and Health Branch's (CCCPH) programs have helped to make communities, worksites and schools healthier places to live, earn and learn. These services have encouraged changes to policies and environments to help community members eat smart, move more and achieve a healthy weight. Some examples of efforts undertaken in our state have included:
 - o Creating walking trails in communities.
 - o Increasing access to farmers' markets.
 - Creating supportive nutrition environments and quality physical education and physical activity in schools.
 - o Creating workplace policies to encourage employees to be more active.

DPH has undertaken these activities with many state and local public health partners including the N.C. Departments of Environmental and Natural Resources, Transportation, Commerce, Cultural Resources, Agriculture and Public Instruction; N.C. Cooperative Extension Services; universities and nonprofit organizations.

♦ To integrate the health needs of youth and adults with disabilities, the **N.C. Office on Disability and Health** in the **DPH's Children and Youth Branch** worked with communities, schools and state and local public health partners to increase the accessibility of farmers' markets, open space and other health promotion environments, and to increase participation of students with disabilities in walking and biking to school programs.

♦ At the practice level, provide point-of-decision prompts to encourage use of stairs, drinking water and eating healthy (see www.eatsmartmovemorenc.com/StairwellGuide/StairwellGuide.html).

Maternal and Infant Health Healthy NC 2020 Objectives

- * Reduce the infant mortality racial disparity between whites and African Americans
- * Reduce the infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)

Division of Public Health programs addressing infant mortality have included:

- ♦ Community Focused Infant Mortality has provided services for women and their infants with a specific focus on African American and Native American families. Services include outreach; case management; health education before, during and after pregnancy to improve the chances of a healthy birth and supportive services for women and their children after delivery. These programs have included Baby Love Plus and Healthy Beginnings and have been housed in local health departments and community-based organizations across the state. Additional partners include UNC-Greensboro and UNC-Chapel Hill.
- ♦ Maternal Health Services has provided a wide range of maternal health services to encourage low-income pregnant women to begin early prenatal care and follow recommended perinatal care guidelines before and after giving birth. State and local public health partners in this effort have included DHHS' Division of Medical Assistance, East Carolina University, UNC-Chapel Hill, private universities and hospitals.
- ♦ Women's Health Public Education has educated North Carolina residents through maternal and child public education/information campaigns. Campaigns have included information about preventing birth defects by encouraging women to consume folic acid before pregnancy, preventing teen pregnancy, preparing for a healthy pregnancy, prenatal care, infant care and appropriate parenting and family planning skills. State and local public health partners in this effort have included DHHS' Division of Medical Assistance and non-profit health organizations.
- ♦ The North Carolina Child Fatality Task Force has continued to work to promote a package of programs to promote healthy births and first years of life to reduce infant mortality. Efforts have included promotion of preconception health, use of a drug to prevent second (or other) subsequent preterm pregnancies, education in best safe sleep practices and improvements in hospital practice.

- ♦ State Genetics and Newborn Metabolic Screening programs have provided services to those infants who are at highest risk for certain birth defects and genetic conditions. This has included congenital heart disorders, cystic fibrosis, and metabolic and other genetic disorders that put infants at risk for physical, emotional, social and cognitive or developmental disabilities. Genetic and hearing screening, diagnosis and intervention improve the quality of life and decrease infant morbidity and mortality. State and local partners in this effort have included public and private hospitals, medical centers, medical specialists, local health departments and private audiologists across the state.
 - The N.C. General Assembly expanded the **DHHS' Newborn Metabolic Screening program** in Session Law 2013-45 to include screening for critical congenital heart defects (CCHD) utilizing pulse oximetry. As a result of this legislation, temporary public health rules requiring CCHD screening of every neonate (and associated required reporting of data) went into effect on July 25, 2014. Permanent rules became effective April 1, 2015. State and local public health partners in this effort have included **nonprofit agencies**, **hospitals** and **universities** across the state.
- ♦ Evidence-based Home Visiting programs have provided services to strengthen family parenting skills by developing common practice across providers working with children and families. These programs have helped develop a framework for a prevention partnership, establish an understanding of what constitutes viable family support activities and provide a framework to measure progress in addressing family needs and providing proven tools for building family strengths.
- ♦ The DHHS' DPH's Children and Youth Branch has implemented Nurse-Family Partnership (NFP) home visiting in 17 counties using a combination of federal funding and state appropriations. These counties are Buncombe, Columbus, Edgecombe, Gaston, Graham, Halifax, Haywood, Hertford, McDowell, Macon, Northampton, Polk, Robeson, Rockingham, Rutherford, Swain and Wake. The NFP model has required that pregnant moms enroll by the 28th week of pregnancy and has encouraged moms to get regular prenatal care that results in reduction of infant mortality and better birth outcomes.

- ◆ Provide recommendations for young patients and their parents about Sickle Cell Trait/Disease:
 - o For infants with abnormal hemoglobin, refer to specialty providers for follow-up care and genetic counseling; promote coordination of primary and specialty care services for patients.
 - o Encourage parents of children with sickle cell trait to complete family genetic studies and educate and inform parents about the risk for having other children with sickle cell disease and/or sickle cell trait.
 - o For children and adolescents, inform them at puberty about the genetic implications of having sickle cell trait and the limited medical complications associated with being a carrier.
- ♦ Promote assessment, counseling and referral for preconception health issues such as life planning, reproductive health goals and healthy weight.
- ♦ **Provide 17P treatment** (Hydroxyprogesterone Caproate) to pregnant women who have had a prior preterm birth.
- ♦ Screen pregnant and postpartum women for domestic violence, alcohol and illicit drug use and refer for services as indicated.
- **♦ Promote breastfeeding** through education and support.
- ♦ **Promote delivery at a minimum of 39 weeks** by eliminating early elective deliveries.
- ♦ Provide education on safe sleep practices including 1) back-to-sleep, 2) eliminating tobacco exposure, 3) eliminating bed sharing and 4) crib safety.
- ◆ Assess, counsel and refer pregnant and postpartum women for tobacco use using the **5 A's Method** (ask, advise, assess, assist and arrange).
- Refer high-risk patients to case management and home-based visiting programs.

Unintended Pregnancy Healthy NC 2020 Objective

❖ Decrease the percentage of pregnancies that are unintended

Division of Public Health programs addressing unintended pregnancies have included:

♦ Teen Pregnancy Prevention Initiatives have sought to prevent teen pregnancies by providing educational and health care services to teenagers. They also have sought to help current teenage parents prevent another unintended pregnancy. Services have been provided by local health departments, community-based organizations, schools and local

departments of social services. Two federal grants are providing important additional funding for high-need, low-resourced communities to provide programs.

Other Teen Pregnancy Prevention Initiatives partners have been **DHHS' Division of Social Services**, **Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Campaign of North Carolina (NC SHIFT, Inc.)** and **Appalachian State University.**

Depending on the needs of the student, school nurses may have helped manage a student's pregnancy as part of nursing case management or with physician orders. Nurses may have provided health education and health promotion through group teaching regarding care of the current pregnancy, anticipatory guidance of labor and delivery and infant and maternal care. With assistance from school nurses, the majority of students have managed their pregnancies well enough to remain enrolled in their normal school location. About 25 percent of students (at some time during either the prenatal or postpartum period, or both) have received home-bound instruction instead of school-located instruction.

- ♦ DPH's Family Planning program has provided family planning services and preventive care to low-income women and men by funding clinics in local health departments and by funding other community-based providers. The aim has been to decrease the number of unplanned pregnancies and decrease the health problems associated with unplanned pregnancies. The service has benefitted the general population with an emphasis on low-income North Carolinians. State and local public health partners in this effort have included DHHS' Division of Medical Assistance, Division of Social Services and local social services offices.
- ♦ The Children and Youth Branch's Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV) Program has provided family strengthening services in 12 counties in North Carolina through Nurse-Family Partnership and Healthy Families America home visiting programs. A targeted program outcome has been for women to reduce subsequent pregnancies within one year of a child's birth. The most recent report for FY13-14 has indicated that 90.4 percent of women did not become pregnant again within one year of the child's birth.

- ♦ For teen pregnancy prevention, ensure:
 - o Teens are informed of all contraceptive and reproductive health services available at the specific health center; and a referral is provided for any contraceptive or reproductive health services that are not available at the specific health center.
 - o Teens' contraceptive and reproductive health needs are assessed at every visit.
 - o **Promotion of "Dual-protection":** using a condom for Sexually Transmitted Infections and HIV prevention, and a highly effective birth control method for pregnancy prevention at the same time.
- ♦ For family planning services:
 - o Provide counseling and education to family planning patients in order to assure that the best contraceptive method can be chosen by the patient for her particular circumstances. A moderately or highly effective method is the most desired method of choice in order to assure that an unintended pregnancy can be avoided.
 - Ask each family planning patient "One Key Question" regarding her pregnancy intentions: Does she want to become pregnant in the next year? Based on her answer, contraceptive options and other important health considerations can then be discussed.
- Learn about the availability of home visiting and parenting programs in patient's community and actively refer families to them.

Sexually Transmitted Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objectives

- * Reduce the percentage of positive results among individuals aged 15 to 24 tested for chlamydia
- * Reduce the rate of new HIV infection diagnoses (per 100,000 population)

Sexually Transmitted Diseases Prevention activities have prevented the spread of sexually transmitted diseases through testing at the **State Laboratory of Public Health**, counseling and education and treatment. This has been achieved by:

- ♦ Supporting two **local health departments** (Guilford and Wake counties) to conduct gonorrhea and chlamydia testing and treatment among high risk populations.
- ♦ Successfully reducing the rates of new HIV diagnoses in the overall population from 17.13 in 2011 to 13.4 in 2014 (per 100,000 based on North Carolina calculated rates) by focusing on those most at risk and by building myriad efforts to assure that people are in care and on medication, thus eliminating the ability to transmit HIV.

- ♦ Promoting the "Get Real Get Tested Get Treatment" campaign conducting screenings for gonorrhea, chlamydia and HIV at college campuses across North Carolina.
- ♦ Providing **local health departments** free chlamydia laboratory testing for all women less than 25 years of age, all pregnant women and women with symptoms of chlamydia.
- ♦ Providing purchasing funds for all 85 **local health departments** in North Carolina to obtain medications used to treat sexually transmitted diseases.
- ◆ Further supporting gonorrhea screening and treatment efforts through GISP (Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project), by testing for antibiotic resistant strains of gonorrhea. Guilford County is one of 26 federal sites collecting GISP data to assure successful treatment of this type of gonorrhea. North Carolina's GISP site is located at Guilford County's health department locations in High Point and Greensboro. A urethral sample is collected from the first 25 men per month who attend the clinics with a urethral gonococcal infection.

- ♦ Assure that all sexually active people receive testing for sexually transmitted diseases including HIV and syphilis test annually, and that those people who are not in a mutually monogamous relationship are tested more frequently (i.e., at three to six month intervals).
- ♦ Assure that all people born between 1945 and 1964, or that have a history of injecting drug use, have been tested for Hepatitis C virus (HCV). Assure that people who actively inject drugs are re-tested regularly, referred for substance abuse services and counseled about safe injection practices.
- ♦ Discuss sexual health and STD-risk behaviors with all patients; for young men who have sex with other men, assure HIV/STD/HCV testing every three to six months.
- ♦ Assure that all people who test positive for HIV or other STDs are linked to appropriate care and treatment to reduce associated morbidity, mortality and transmission to others.

Substance Abuse

Healthy NC 2020 Objectives

- * Reduce the percentage of high school students who had alcohol on one or more of the past 30 days
- * Reduce the percentage of traffic crashes that are alcohol-related
- * Reduce the percentage of individuals aged 12 years and older reporting any illicit drug use in the past 30 days

Some programs addressing these **Healthy NC 2020** objectives have included:

- ♦ The **DPH's Forensic Tests for Alcohol Branch** has worked to reduce deaths, injuries and health care costs related to impaired driving in North Carolina. Services have included:
 - Delivering comprehensive alcohol and drug training for law enforcement officers and court personnel to improve their ability to detect, apprehend and prosecute impaired drivers.
 - o Procuring funding for four **Breath Alcohol Testing (BAT) Mobile Units** (two additional and two replacements) and two full-time positions to staff additional BAT Mobile Units. BAT Mobile Units have been used at Driving While Impaired (DWI) checking stations to deter impaired driving and promote the belief that DWI enforcement is likely to occur anywhere in the state at any time. When the BAT Mobile staff increased this year from five to seven BAT coordinators, a regionalized program was implemented. Regionalization of the program has allowed for the hiring of BAT Coordinators who reside in the communities they serve, increasing support of the program within the community.
 - o In addition to participating in checking stations, BAT Coordinators have provided educational programs for community groups and in schools, stressing the dangers of drinking and driving. The program has provided and maintained 369 evidential breath alcohol testing instruments statewide located at 203 breath testing sites and used in training law enforcement officers.

Additional state and local public health partners in these efforts have included the N.C. Department of Public Safety/State Highway Patrol, N.C. Department of Transportation Division of Motor Vehicles/Governors Highway Safety Program and local law enforcement agencies across the state.

- ♦ The **DPH's Injury and Violence Prevention Branch** has monitored injury and violence trends in the state, including events associated with underage alcohol use and prescription and drug overdose.
- ♦ To address these **Healthy NC 2020** objectives, the **N.C. Child Fatality Task Force** has:
 - O Supported funding for safe drug disposal and for strengthening the controlled substance reporting system, both which help to prevent illicit drug use.
 - Supported the 911 Good Samaritan/Naloxone Access legislation that provides limited immunity from prosecution for reporting drug and alcohol overdoses and for the use of rescue drugs for opioid overdoses.
 - A model standing order was developed to authorize the dispensing of Narcan (naloxone) by public health nurses at local health departments that adopt the standing order. The state **Emergency Medical Services** (EMS) Medical Director issued policy guidance for county EMS medical directors to authorize local EMS and law

- enforcement agencies to use and administer naloxone. Over the past two years, 750 overdose reversals have been documented with the expanded availability of naloxone.
- ♦ The N.C. Department of Transportation has revised its five year state highway safety plan. The plan includes updated impaired driving goals. The DPH's Injury and Violence Prevention Branch has been an active participant in the development of the plan and its impaired driving goals.
- ♦ The **DPH's Children and Youth Branch** has funded 32 **School Health Centers** which have provided primary and preventive medical care for adolescents. Imbedded in this care has been adolescent risk assessments with follow-up counseling and/or referral to reduce the students' risk for health problems caused by underage drinking, smoking, inadequate physical activity, dietary habits and overweight, intentional/unintentional injuries and unsafe reproductive health behaviors.

- ♦ Continue in their vital role in assessing patients for alcohol usage and encouraging them to drink in moderation and to be safe when drinking.
- ♦ Help establish and promote safe opioid prescribing guidelines by attending existing training for providers who prescribe controlled substances.
- ♦ Encourage and promote registration in and use of the N.C. Controlled Substance Reporting System (CSRS).
- ♦ Consider signing standing orders for local pharmacists seeking to dispense naloxone to those at risk or friends, family members and others.
- ♦ Promote policies in their practices that create a comprehensive overdose prescription model (e.g., universal CSRS use, knowledge of treatment options and Emergency Department policies).
- ♦ Since heroin deaths have tripled in the last several years and are now likely to reach over 200 deaths in 2014, assess patients for overdose risk from all types of medications and drugs.

Infectious Disease and Foodborne Illness Healthy NC 2020 Objective

- Increase the percentage of children aged 19-35 months who receive the recommended vaccines
 - ♦ The goal of the **DHHS' Division of Public Health's N.C. Immunization Branch** (**NCIB**) has been to reduce and ultimately eliminate vaccine preventable diseases by

increasing and maintaining high immunization coverage levels. The NCIB has tracked trends in disease over time, monitored progress towards disease reduction and elimination goals, and served to educate healthcare providers and North Carolinians on appropriate and timely immunization.

The NCIB has collaborated with immunization partners in the public and private sectors to promote evidence-based approaches towards increasing immunization coverage levels.

The **N.C. Immunization Registry (NCIR)** has been a secure, web-based clinical tool for medical providers as well as an official certificate of immunization for all ages.

- By 2 years of age, over 20 percent of the children in the United States typically have seen more than one healthcare provider, resulting in scattered paper/electronic medical records.
- The NCIR has replaced handwritten charting and electronic health record documentation for many providers administering immunizations in the state.
 Immunization providers may access all recorded immunizations administered in North Carolina, regardless of where the immunizations were given.

In addition, the NCIR has been utilized for the assessment of immunization coverage levels and to assist in identifying vulnerable populations to prevent disease and in outbreak situations.

A continuous quality-improvement approach, known as AFIX (Assessment, Feedback, Incentive, eXchange), has been used to improve provider practices and raise immunization coverage rates. Improving immunization practices in provider settings has been one of the most effective methods of increasing immunization coverage. The role of the NCIB has been to oversee quality assurance of all immunization-related activities conducted by providers. Emphasis has been placed on populations at highest risk for under-immunization and disease.

♦ The NCIB has worked closely with the **N.C. Commission for Public Health** and **community stakeholders** to review North Carolina immunization requirements. As of July 1, 2015, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine is now a requirement under the immunization rules. Effective Oct. 1, 2013, and under House Bill 832, immunizing pharmacists may have administered vaccines recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to persons at least 18 years of age pursuant to a specific prescription order. Under this bill, immunizing pharmacists may have administered pneumococcal polysaccharide or pneumococcal conjugate vaccines. An immunizing pharmacist may also have administered the influenza vaccine to persons at least 14 years of age. Immunizing pharmacists are required to access the **N.C. Immunization Registry** (**NCIR**) prior to administering the vaccine or immunization and record any vaccine or immunization administered to the patient in the registry within 72 hours after the administration.

- Establish and maintain a practice-wide commitment to communicating effectively about vaccines and maintaining high vaccination rates. This can be accomplished by:
 - o Providing parents with vaccine educational materials;
 - o Being available to answer parents' questions about vaccines;
 - Making sure that families who may opt for extra visits for vaccines make and keep their vaccine appointments and
 - Vaccinating children according to the current immunization schedule recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (this schedule decreases missed opportunities).
- ♦ If you provide immunizations, become a N.C. Immunization Registry user.
- ♦ Since locating immunization records can be difficult and time consuming, give a copy of the immunization record to anyone receiving vaccines.

Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective

❖ Reduce the cardiovascular disease mortality rate (per 100,000 population)

Some programs addressing this **Healthy NC 2020** objective have been:

- ♦ The DHHS' Division of Public Health's Community and Clinical Connections for Prevention and Health Branch (CCCPH) has utilized a multipronged approach to address heart disease and stroke that encompasses policy, system and environmental changes. This approach has been guided by several programs including the legislatively appointed Justus-Warren Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Task Force (JWTF) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) funded State Public Health Actions to Prevent and Control Diabetes, Heart Disease, Obesity and Associated Risk Factors and Promote School Health. The CCCPH has continued to partner with other stakeholders on shared cardiovascular goals and objectives.
- ♦ Many of the strategies have been reflected in the national **Millions Hearts**® campaign to prevent one million heart attacks and strokes nationwide by 2017.
 - o The focus has been on evidence-based practices to address the ABCS of cardiovascular prevention (<u>A</u>spirin therapy when appropriate; <u>B</u>lood pressure control [including sodium reduction]; <u>C</u>holesterol control; and <u>S</u>moking cessation).

- This work has recognized the important role of community (including lifestyle modification) and clinic-based programs and the need to link these programs in addressing population health.
- One of the main areas of focus has been the prevention and management of hypertension, a leading risk factor for heart disease and stroke. Alliant Quality has coordinated and convened North Carolina stakeholders to improve cardiac health and reduce cardiac healthcare disparities among North Carolinians and to support the national Million Hearts[®] initiative to support Goal 2 of the North Carolina Plan for Prevention and Management of Heart Disease and Stroke 2012-2017.

- ♦ Adopt a standardized treatment approach for the ABCS; protocols and algorithms can help your team help patients.
- ♦ Use electronic health records to track patient and team progress regarding the ABCS of cardiovascular prevention as well as treatment of hypertension over time.
- ♦ Support self-monitoring of blood pressure.
- ♦ At the practice level, provide point-of-decision prompts to encourage use of stairs, drinking water and eating healthy (see www.eatsmartmovemorenc.com/StairwellGuide/StairwellGuide.html)

Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective

- ❖ Decrease the percentage of adults with diabetes
 - ♦ The Community and Clinical Connections for Prevention and Health Branch (CCCPH) has facilitated diabetes prevention and management using a systematic approach that increases access to behavior management education and supports quality care for people who are at risk of and who have diabetes.
 - O Diabetes self-management education has been a recognized strategy to improve quality of life, has reduced diabetes complications and has reduced costs associated with diabetes care. The CCCPH Branch and the N.C. Public Health Foundation support local health departments to offer North Carolinians with diabetes selfmanagement education recognized by the American Diabetes Association and reimbursed by Medicare, Medicaid and private insurance.
 - While secondary prevention is important, primary diabetes prevention is vital to reducing the incidence of diabetes. The CCCPH Branch, along with a statewide group of stakeholders, has developed a diabetes prevention plan that includes activities to

- promote the awareness of pre-diabetes, to strategically locate diabetes prevention lifestyle programs, to facilitate referrals to such programs and to prepare for diabetes prevention program sustainability.
- o Promotion of quality diabetes care, particularly for the uninsured and underinsured, has been another focus of the CCCPH Branch. In conjunction with partners, CCCPH has distributed nationally accepted clinical guidelines and has promoted team-based care and electronic health record use to meet meaningful use and quality standards. CCCPH will also develop trainings for providers about referral to evidenced-based, self-management programs. Providers will be able to access the www.diabetesfreenc .com website in March 2016 for information regarding onsite and online diabetes prevention program classes that will be offered statewide.
- ♦ To increase access to diabetes screening opportunities, the N.C. Office on Disability and Health in the DPH's Children and Youth Branch has worked with child health nurses, pediatricians and dental professionals to increase the accessibility of health care facilities, including local health departments. Improvements made have included purchase of more accessible exam tables and scales, as well as other simple environmental changes to promote access to care.
- ♦ The School Nursing Program in the DPH's Children and Youth Branch has monitored and reported compliance with The Care of Students with Diabetes Act, which was passed in 2009 as Senate Bill 738. All public schools, including charter schools, have been required to provide diabetes care training to school staff when they have students with diabetes enrolled. School nurses also have provided Individual Health Plans for students with diabetes to assure they receive appropriate diabetes management while in school and in collaboration with their medical home. For diabetic students who have received School Nurse Case Management (an evidence-informed model of school nursing case management), 89 percent have demonstrated improved ability to self-administer insulin, 74 percent have had improved HgA1C, 73 percent have shown improved grades and 78 percent had a decrease in school absences (2012-13 School Health Services Report).

- ♦ Increase referrals to the evidence-based **Diabetes Self-Management** programs and the **Diabetes Prevention Lifestyle** programs that are available across the state (contact DPH for a list of referral sites).
- ♦ Conduct an internal accessibility assessment of your office to ensure easy access to screening and treatment service for people with disabilities.
- ♦ Become active on your local **School Health Advisory Council** to advance school health services and healthy school environments.

Chronic Disease Healthy NC 2020 Objective

- ❖ Reduce the colorectal cancer mortality rate (per 100,000 population)
 - ♦ The DPH's Cancer Prevention and Control Branch and American Cancer Society (ACS), in conjunction with the Mecklenburg County Health Department, joined forces in February 2015 to hold a forum to foster collaboration to lower the incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer (CRC) in North Carolina. The intention of the meeting was to create a statewide coalition committed to reducing CRC through coordinated leadership, strategic planning and advocacy. Forum participants were from various medical, community, academic and public health agencies representing geographic locations across the state. The forum focused on the strong need to address CRC as it is a leading cause of cancer deaths in North Carolina.
 - ♦ CRC is one of six cancers that have been and will continue to be the focus of the new North Carolina Comprehensive Cancer Control Plan 2014 through 2020. The forum's consensus has been that, to prevent cancer, we must change behaviors around the risks of cancer through education and the adoption of healthy policies at home, work and in the community. The N.C. DHHS has led the effort by pledging to support the goal of having 80 percent of adults aged 50 and older screened for CRC by 2018.
 - O As a result of this forum, a CRC roundtable and task groups have been established to address the incidence and mortality of CRC in North Carolina. The task groups include: provider education, public education and outreach, access to care and health policy. Health disparities have been incorporated into the work of each task group.
 - Leaders from Medicaid, BCBSNC, Community Care of North Carolina, Area Health Education Centers, ACS, UNC-CH, N.C. DPH, the State Health Plan, the N.C. Community Health Center Association (NCCHCA), the N.C. Society of Gastroenterologists, the N.C. Quality Improvement Organization, local health departments and many others are included.

What Medical Providers Can Do

♦ Help support this effort through collaborative efforts in the medical community to raise awareness, increase provider and public education, seek funding and in-kind services for colorectal cancer screenings, and follow-up treatment.

Other Key Health Issues

Oral Health

- ♦ The **DHHS' Division of Public Health's Oral Health Section (OHS)** will be adopting the N.C. Association of Local Health Director's regional structure, and all 10 regions will be served by the OHS's statewide program. Regional efforts will require stronger partnerships with not only local dental providers but also with medical providers and other community oral health stakeholders.
- ♦ Most OHS efforts have traditionally centered on children. Establishing new partnerships and effectively utilizing resources will allow the OHS to include more vulnerable population groups into its menu of services. This will include the frail elderly, those with intellectual and developmental disabilities and pregnant women. Several OHS programs have relied on partnerships with medical providers.
- ♦ Both the **Into the Mouths of Babes** and **Carolina Dental Home** programs have been medical-dental collaborations focused on finding dental homes for Medicaid-insured children. Recent changes in private dental insurance coverage in North Carolina have made this a particularly good time to focus on growth.
- ♦ A third program, **Into the Mouths of Moms**, will be a pilot patterned after our successful **Into the Mouths of Babes** program. An objective of this initiative will be to increase the number of medical providers offering oral screenings to pregnant women and referring those in need to dental providers for care. Many pregnant women with Medicaid are covered for dental services while they have been pregnant. This program will help those women to take advantage of that window of dental coverage.
- ♦ In addition, the OHS has initiated a dialogue with those whose focus is on providing services and ensuring optimal oral health care to patients with special health care needs. As a result of these ongoing discussions, the OHS hopes to develop and implement a new **Special Care in Dentistry** program which will center on providing services to those with intellectual and developmental disabilities and the frail elderly. As a first step, a dental needs assessment will be conducted on residents in a random sample of assisted living facilities in the fall of 2015.

What Medical Providers Can Do

- ♦ Be partners in referring patients-in-need to care.
- ♦ Continue encouraging your patients to make oral health a priority because it is intimately related to overall health.

Hepatitis C Virus

- ♦ Hepatitis C virus (HCV) has been a bloodborne virus most commonly transmitted through injection drug use. Although HCV infection can be acute and self-limiting, approximately 75 percent to 85 percent of infected individuals develop chronic disease. Acute HCV cases, but not chronic HCV cases, have been reportable by law in North Carolina.
- ♦ In 2014, 113 acute HCV cases were reported to the Communicable Disease Branch (CDB) of the N.C. DHHS' Division of Public Health. Based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) national prevalence projections and United States census data, the CDB has estimated that 110,000 people (range: 80,000–150,000 people) in North Carolina are living with chronic HCV infection. However, this might be an underestimation considering the nearly three-fold increase in the number of reported acute HCV infections in North Carolina from 2010 to 2014.
- ♦ Although effective treatments for HCV infection have been available, most individuals with HCV are unaware of their infection and have not received needed care and treatment. HCV testing and linkage to care are the first steps toward improving health outcomes for persons infected with HCV. Through collaborative efforts, the CDB and healthcare providers can address the challenge of HCV in North Carolina.
- ♦ The **DHHS** and the **DPH's Communicable Disease Branch** have been addressing HCV by:
 - Working to assure that screening and treatment for HCV are performed according to national standards.
 - Developing HCV treatment best-practice algorithms and referral networks across North Carolina.
 - o Increasing health care provider understanding of current HCV testing and linkage to care recommendations through continuing medical education initiatives.
 - Increasing public knowledge of current HCV testing recommendations through public outreach campaigns.
 - o Preparing a rule change and processes to include chronic HCV as a reportable condition in our state.
 - Addressing drug user health.

- ♦ Review CDC guidelines for HCV testing, diagnosis and management: www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/hcvfaq.htm.
- ♦ Provide one-time HCV testing for adults born during 1945–1965 without prior ascertainment of HCV risk.
- ♦ For HCV-infected patients, provide a brief alcohol screening and intervention, as clinically indicated, followed by referral to appropriate care and treatment services for HCV infection and related conditions.

Death Certification

- ♦ The N.C. Medical Examiner System has consisted of approximately 450 appointed medical examiners, slightly over half of them physicians, who may be contacted at any time to investigate sudden, unexpected apparently natural deaths or deaths due to violence or other external means.
- ♦ When the medical examiner has assumed jurisdiction of a case, multiple processes with associated costs to the county and state are initiated. When physicians decline to sign death certificates for their patients who they knew and had been caring and treating for ultimately fatal conditions, the medical examiner is contacted, many times after already declining a case.
 - When the medical examiner has reviewed the circumstances of death, which usually has included information from law enforcement and EMS, and has determined that the death does not fall under his or her jurisdiction because the death is a non-suspicious natural attended death, the treating physician, by law, must certify the death.
 - The funeral home has been responsible for assuring that the death certificate has been signed by three days and has been filed by five days from the date of death. Delays in signing the death certificate negatively impact the families of the deceased, who may have required a certified death certificate for various financial processes.
 - Many misconceptions about this responsibility have been addressed by the N.C. Medical Board in Forum articles from Fall 2013 (www.ncmedboard. org/resources-information/professional-resources/publications/forum-newsletter/ article/physician-obligation-to-complete-death-certificates) and Spring 2014 (www.ncmedboard.org/resources-information/professional-resources/publications/ forum-newsletter/forum-issue-ii-2014).

- ♦ New legislation, as part of the reform of the N.C. Medical Examiner System, has been ratified (House Bill 814) stating that each county must have at least two appointed medical examiners.
- ♦ Another major area of reform has been expected to require mandatory introductory/orientation training and continuing education for all current and newly appointed medical examiners. This training should alleviate concerns about the duties of a medical examiner.

- ♦ Consider serving as a medical examiner in your community.
- ♦ Certify deaths in a timely manner by signing the death certificate for patients who you know and have been caring and treating for ultimately fatal conditions.

Carbon Monoxide Exposure

- ◆ Carbon monoxide (CO) exposure and release in the workplace has been a growing problem in North Carolina.
 - o From 2002 through 2014, a total of 48 occupational CO exposure incidents have occurred, resulting in 249 total injured workers reported over the 13-year period (mostly in the manufacturing industry sector, followed by the retail trade and accommodation/food services industry sectors).
 - OPH's Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch (OEEB) has investigated five occupational CO exposures in the past year, resulting in 27 total employees requiring visits to the emergency department. Causes of these incidents included a workplace generator, a forklift, a welder and a heating and cooling system.
- ♦ DPH's OEEB collaborated with the N.C. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Division, the N.C. Agromedicine Institute, and the American Society of Safety Engineers North Carolina Tar Heel Chapter to design an educational outreach campaign to increase awareness about workplace injuries and deaths related to CO poisoning.
 - o These campaigns have targeted the agricultural and manufacturing industry sectors.
 - OEEB has designed six electronic factsheets in English and three in Spanish, one audio Public Service Announcement (PSA) in English and Spanish, and one video PSA. All materials have been disseminated to key stakeholders (grower commodity groups, trade organizations, and state, local and federal agencies) and posted on the website. Audio PSAs have been distributed to radio stations, and a video PSA has been posted to YouTube.

- ♦ Promote health in all phases of a patient's life, including the workplace.
- ♦ Incorporate a brief occupational and environmental history to assist in determining if the workplace is contributing to negative health outcomes.

Proposed or Planned Steps

North Carolina has prepared to take additional steps toward continuing to improve the health of our citizens.

- ♦ Most of the programs already highlighted in this report are ongoing and will be continued in efforts to meet the state's **Healthy NC 2020** objectives.
- ♦ As part of its five-year strategic planning initiated in 2011, the **DHHS' Division of Public Health** identified the need for a **Healthy NC 2020** implementation team to track and report the state's progress in meeting these health improvement goals.
 - This team has consisted of representatives across multiple sections and branches of the Division of Public Health, as well as representation from DPH's partner agencies such as local health departments; the Center for Public Health Quality; the Center for Healthy North Carolina; the DHHS' Office of Rural Health and Community Care and the DHHS' Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities and Substance Abuse Services.
 - The Healthy NC 2020 implementation team has been charged with making recommendations to the State Health Director on priority areas to focus Healthy NC 2020 efforts statewide, including state and local efforts to increase the use of evidence-based strategies to address Healthy NC 2020 objectives. This effort has been crucial since the state is now at the midpoint in this 10-year plan. The team has also made ongoing recommendations for changes in the Healthy NC 2020 objectives as warranted based on changes in data availability.

References

- 1. United Health Foundation. America's Health Rankings 2014—North Carolina. Available at: www.americashealthrankings.org/NC. Accessed Aug. 13, 2015.
- 2. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, State Center for Health Statistics. North Carolina Detailed Mortality Statistics, 2014. Chronic disease deaths include: cancer, heart disease, stroke, hypertension, atherosclerosis, chronic lower respiratory disease, diabetes and nephrosis/nephrotic syndrome. Injury deaths include: suicide, homicide, motor vehicle accidents and all other unintentional injuries. Available at: www.schs.state.nc.us/data/vital/dms/2014.
- 3. North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, State Center for Health Statistics. North Carolina Health Data Query System, Mortality Data: Leading Causes of Death, 2014—all ages. Available at: www.schs.state.nc.us/interactive/query/lcd/lcd.cfm.
- 4. United Health Foundation. America's Health Rankings 2014—North Carolina. Available at: www.americashealthrankings.org/NC. Accessed Aug. 13, 2015.
- 5. Be Active North Carolina, Inc. *Tipping the Scales: The High Cost of Unhealthy Behavior in North Carolina* (2012 Report). Available at: www.forprevention.org/prevention/Document/Download?id=34e9eb7c-236b-4b74-915b-7513f733bd91.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs 2014. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014. Available at: www.cdc.gov/tobacco/stateandcommunity/best_practices/pdfs/2014/comprehensive.pdf.
- 7. North Carolina Institute of Medicine, Task Force on Prevention. *Prevention for the Health of North Carolina: Prevention Action Plan.* Morrisville, NC: North Carolina Institute of Medicine; 2009. Available at: www.nciom.org/wp-content/uploads/NCIOM/projects/prevention/finalreport/PreventionReport-July2010.pdf.
- 8. North Carolina Institute of Medicine. *Healthy North Carolina 2020: A Better State of Health*. Morrisville, NC: North Carolina Institute of Medicine; 2011. Available at: http://publichealth.nc.gov/hnc2020/docs/HNC2020-FINAL-March-revised.pdf.
- 9. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress:* A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2014.
- 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Vital Signs: Disparities in Nonsmokers' Exposure to Secondhand Smoke—United States 1999–2012." *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 2015;64(Early Release):1–7.

Appendix A: Healthy North Carolina 2020 Objectives Compared to North Carolina Goals* and the United States

*The State Goal is the Healthy North Carolina 2020 target as established in 2011.

| | | North Carolina Current | State Goal | United States |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Tobacco Use | | | | |
| Decrease the percentage of adults who are current smokers | 21.8% (2011) | 19.1% (2014) | 13.0% | 19.0% (2013) |
| Decrease the percentage of high school students reporting current use of any tobacco product | 25.8% (2009) | 22.5% (2011) | 15.0% | 23.4% (2011) |
| Decrease the percentage of people exposed to secondhand smoke in the workplace in the past seven days | 9.2% (2011) | 9.7% (2014) | 0% | Not available |
| Physical Activity and Nutrition | | | | |
| Increase the percentage of high school students who are neither overweight nor obese | 72.0% (2009) | 72.3% (2013) | 79.2% | 69.7% (2013) |
| Increase the percentage of adults getting meeting CDC Aerobic Recommendations | 46.8% (2011) | 48.1% (2013) | 60.6% | 51.0% (2009) |
| Increase the percentage of adults who consume fruit one or more times per day. | 59.2% (2011) | 57.1% (2013) | 69.7% | 60.8% (2013) |
| Increase the percentage of adults who consume vegetables one or more times per day. | 78.1% (2011) | 76.3% (2013) | 84.7% | Not available |
| Injury and Violence | | | | |
| Reduce the unintentional poisoning mortality rate (per 100,000 population) | 11.0 (2008) | 12.5 (2014) | 9.9 | 12.2 (2013) |
| Reduce the unintentional falls mortality rate (per 100,000 population) | 8.1 (2008) | 10.0 (2014) | 5.3 | 8.5 (2013) |
| Reduce the homicide rate (per 100,000 population) | 7.5 (2008) | 5.6 (2014) | 6.7 | 5.2 (2013) |
| Maternal and Infant Health | | | | |
| Reduce the infant mortality racial disparity between whites and African Americans | 2.45 (2008) | 2.39 (2014) | 1.92 | 2.21 (2013) |
| Reduce the infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births) | 8.2 (2008) | 7.1 (2014) | 6.3 | 5.96 (2013) |
| Reduce the percentage of women who smoke during pregnancy | 10.9% (2011) | 9.8% (2014) | 6.8% | Not available |

| | | North Carolina Current | State Goal | United States |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Sexually Transmitted Disease and Unintended Pregnancy | ·· - ·········· | | | |
| Decrease the percentage of pregnancies that are unintended | 39.8% (2007) | 42.7% (2011) | 30.9% | Not available |
| Reduce the percentage of positive results among individuals aged 15 to 24 tested for chlamydia | 9.7% (2009) | 10.8% (2014) | 8.7% | Not available |
| Reduce the rate of new HIV infection diagnoses (per 100,000 population) | 24.7 (2008) | 16.0 (2013) | 22.2 | 15.0 (2013) |
| Substance Abuse | | | | |
| Reduce the percentage of high school students who had alcohol on one or more of the past 30 days | 35.0% (2009) | 32.2% (2013) | 26.4% | 34.9% (2013) |
| Reduce the percentage of traffic crashes that are alcohol-related | 5.7% (2008) | 4.8% (2014) | 4.7% | Not available |
| Reduce the percentage of individuals aged 12 years and older reporting any illicit drug use in the past 30 days | 7.8% (2007-08) | 7.5% (2012–13) | 6.6% | 9.3% (2012–13) |
| Mental Health | | | | |
| Reduce the suicide rate (per 100,000 population) | 12.4 (2008) | 13.0 (2014) | 8.3 | 12.6 (2013) |
| Decrease the average number of poor mental health days among adults in the past 30 days | 3.7 (2011) | 3.6 (2014) | 2.8 | Not available |
| Reduce the rate of mental health-related visits to emergency departments (per 10,000 population) | 92.0 (2008) | 104.5 (2012) | 82.8 | Not available |
| Oral Health | | | | |
| Increase the percentage of children aged 1–5 years enrolled in Medicaid who received any dental service during the previous 12 months | 46.9% (2008) | 58.0% (2013) | 56.4% | 42.5% (2013) |
| Decrease the average number of decayed, missing or filled teeth among kindergartners | 1.5 (2008-09) | 1.5 (2009–10) | 1.1 | Not available |
| Decrease the percentage of adults who have had permanent teeth removed due to tooth decay or gum disease | 48.3% (2012) | 49.1% (2014) | 38.4% | 43.4% (2014) |
| Environmental Health | | | | |
| Increase the percentage of air monitor sites meeting the current ozone standard of 0.075 ppm | 62.5% (2007-09) | 95.6% (2011–13) | 100.0% | Not available |
| Increase the percentage of the population being served by community water systems (CWS) with no maximum contaminant level violations (among persons on CWS) | 92.2% (2009) | 97.9% (2013) | 95.0% | 2.0% (2013) |
| Reduce the mortality rate from work-related injuries (per 100,000 equivalent full-time workers) | 3.9 (2008) | 2.5 (2013) | 3.5 | 3.3 (2013) |

| | | North Carolina Current | State Goal | United States |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Infectious Disease and Foodborne Illness | | | | |
| Increase the percentage of children aged 19-35 months who receive the recommended vaccines | 77.3% (2007) | 76.6% (2013) | 91.3% | 74.0% (2013) |
| Reduce the pneumonia and influenza mortality rate (per 100,000 population) | 19.5 (2008) | 17.1 (2014) | 13.5 | 15.9 (2013) |
| Decrease the average number of critical violations per restaurant/food stand | 6.1 (2009) | 6.5 (2011) | 5.5 | Not available |
| Social Determinants of Health | | | | |
| Decrease the percentage of individuals living in poverty | 16.9% (2009) | 18.6% (2013) | 12.5% | 14.5% (2013) |
| Increase the four-year high school graduation rate | 71.8% (2008-09) | 85.4% (2014–15) | 94.6% | 81.0% (2012-13) |
| Decrease the percentage of people spending more than 30 percent of their income on rental housing | 41.8% (2008) | 46.3% (2014) | 36.1% | 47.9% (2014) |
| Chronic Disease | | | | |
| Reduce the cardiovascular disease mortality rate (per 100,000 population) | 256.6 (2008) | 216.5 (2014) | 161.5 | 227.1 (2011) |
| Decrease the percentage of adults with diabetes | 10.9% (2011) | 10.8% (2014) | 8.6% | 10.0% (2014) |
| Reduce the colorectal cancer mortality rate (per 100,000 population) | 15.7 (2008) | 14.2 (2014) | 10.1 | 15.1 (2011) |
| Cross-cutting | | | | |
| Increase average life expectancy (years) | 77.5 (2008) | 78.3 (2014) | 79.5 | 78.8 (2013) |
| Increase the percentage of adults reporting good, very good or excellent health | 80.4% (2011) | 81.0% (2014) | 90.1% | 83.3% (2013) |
| Reduce the percentage of non-elderly uninsured individuals (aged less than 65 years) | 20.4% (2009) | 15.2% (2014) | 8.0% | 13.5% (2014) |
| Increase the percentage of adults who are neither overweight nor obese ¹ | 34.9% (2011) | 34.4% (2014) | 38.1% | 35.2% (2014) |

Appendix B: Additional County/Regional Data for Selected Healthy North Carolina 2020 Objectives

Note: All data tables in Appendix B are the most recent available as of October 14, 2015.

Percentage of North Carolina Adults Who Are Current Smokers by Region for the North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors and the Area Health Education Centers—BRFSS Survey Results, 2014

| | Percent | C.I. (95%)* |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| North Carolina | 19.1 | 17.9-20.3 |
| North Carolina Association | of Local Health D | Pirectors |
| Region 1 and 2 | 23.0 | 19.6-26.8 |
| Region 3 | 16.8 | 13.6-20.6 |
| Region 4 | 19.3 | 16.8-22.0 |
| Region 5 | 16.4 | 13.7-19.4 |
| Region 6 | 24.3 | 20.1-29.0 |
| Region 7 | 17.2 | 14.5-20.4 |
| Region 8 | 19.2 | 15.9-23.0 |
| Region 9 and 10 | 19.0 | 15.6-23.0 |
| Area Health Education Cen | ters | |
| Mountain AHEC | 22.8 | 18.9-27.3 |
| Northwest | 19.6 | 16.9-22.7 |
| Charlotte | 18.3 | 15.7-21.3 |
| Greensboro | 17.7 | 14.6-21.1 |
| Southern Regional | 22.2 | 18.4-26.5 |
| Southeast | 17.3 | 13.4-22.0 |
| Wake | 16.5 | 13.8-19.5 |
| Area L and Eastern | 20.4 | 17.5-23.6 |

Current smoking prevalence represents the percent of survey respondents who report that they currently smoke "every day" or "most days" and have smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime.

North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors

Region 1: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain, Transylvania

Region 2: Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Cleveland, Henderson, Madison, Rutherford-Polk-McDowell, Yancey-Mitchell-Avery

Region 3: Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Watauga-Ashe-Alleghany, Wilkes, Yadkin

Region 4: Alexander, Cabarrus, Catawba, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln Mecklenburg, Rowan, Stanly, Union

Region 5: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Durham, Guilford, Orange, Person, Randolph, Rockingham

Region 6: Anson, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, Scotland

Region 7: Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville-Vance, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Wake, Warren, Wilson

Region 8: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, New Hanover, Onslow, Pender, Robeson, Sampson

Region 9: Bertie, Currituck, Dare, Hertford-Gates, Hyde, Martin-Tyrell-Washington, Northampton, Pasquotank-Perquimans-Camden-Chowan

Region 10: Beaufort, Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Lenoir, Pamlico, Pitt, Wayne

Area Health Education Centers

Mountain: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, Yancey

Northwest: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Iredell, Rowan, Stokes, Surry, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin

Charlotte: Anson, Cabarrus, Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Stanly, Union

Greensboro: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Guilford, Montgomery, Orange, Randolph, Rockingham

Southern Regional: Bladen, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Moore, Richmond, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland

Southeast: Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, Pender, New Hanover

Wake: Durham, Franklin, Granville, Johnston, Lee, Person, Vance, Wake, Warren

Area L: Edgecombe, Halifax, Nash, Northampton, Wilson

Eastern: Beaufort, Bertie, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hyde, Jones, Lenoir, Martin, Onslow, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Pitt, Tyrrell, Washington, Wayne

Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, State Center for Health Statistics.

^{*} C.I. (95%) = Confidence Interval (at 95% probability level).

Percentage of North Carolina Women Smoking During Pregnancy, 2014

| Alexander 19.1 Lee 14.9 Alleghany 30.3 Lenoir 17.6 Anson 16.9 Lincoln 13.5 Ashe 19.7 McDowell 23.0 Avery 16.7 Macon 21.6 Beufort 17.0 Madisson 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Bladen 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Burse 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 | County | Percentage | County | Percentage |
|---|-------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Alexander 19.1 Lee 14.9 Alleghany 30.3 Lenoir 17.6 Anson 16.9 Lincoln 13.5 Ashe 19.7 McDowell 23.0 Avery 16.7 Macon 21.6 Beaufort 17.0 Madisson 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Burcombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 | State Total | 9.8 | Johnston | 9.0 |
| Alleghany 30.3 Lenoir 17.6 Anson 16.9 Lincoln 13.5 Ashe 19.7 McDowell 23.0 Avery 16.7 Macon 21.6 Beaufort 17.0 Madison 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Brunswick 19.9 Moore 10.9 Moore 10.9 Moore 10.9 Ashah 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Chowan 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Rowan 17.1 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davidson 20.0 Stanly 3.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harwett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hentford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Yadkin 16.4 | Alamance | 12.0 | Jones | 13.3 |
| Anson 16.9 Lincoln 13.5 Ashe 19.7 McDowell 23.0 Avery 16.7 Macon 21.6 Beaufort 17.0 Madison 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Coumberland 9.5 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Randolph 14.7 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.5 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Graval 11.8 Gracon 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gastes 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Greene 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Greene 15.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Halywood 18.5 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wayne 9.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 | Alexander | 19.1 | Lee | 14.9 |
| Ashe 19.7 McDowell 23.0 Avery 16.7 Macon 21.6 Beaufort 17.0 Madisson 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 | Alleghany | 30.3 | Lenoir | 17.6 |
| Avery | Anson | 16.9 | Lincoln | 13.5 |
| Beaufort 17.0 Madison 9.2 Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 | Ashe | 19.7 | McDowell | 23.0 |
| Bertie 12.8 Martin 18.5 Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cheveland 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Clowban 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 | Avery | 16.7 | Macon | 21.6 |
| Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Pergender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 <t< td=""><td>Beaufort</td><td>17.0</td><td>Madison</td><td>9.2</td></t<> | Beaufort | 17.0 | Madison | 9.2 |
| Bladen 16.0 Mecklenburg 3.5 Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabure 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 | Bertie | 12.8 | Martin | 18.5 |
| Brunswick 16.6 Mitchell 26.8 Buncombe 4.2 Montgomery 16.4 Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Cloumbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 < | Bladen | 16.0 | | |
| Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Darie 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 | Brunswick | 16.6 | | |
| Burke 19.9 Moore 10.9 Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Chowan 17.7 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Crunberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 <t< td=""><td>Buncombe</td><td>4.2</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Buncombe | 4.2 | | |
| Cabarrus 9.3 Nash 11.3 Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Robeson 18.6 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Darie 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 | | | | |
| Caldwell 23.3 New Hanover 8.8 Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 | Cabarrus | | | |
| Camden 11.2 Northampton 15.8 Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Darie 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Durlin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durlam 4.9 Scotland 21.8 | | | - 1210 | |
| Carteret 17.6 Onslow 6.9 Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Duylin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 | | | | |
| Caswell 20.5 Orange 4.2 Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durliam 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 | | | • | |
| Catawba 15.8 Pamlico 13.2 Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perguimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 | | | | |
| Chatham 6.4 Pasquotank 11.0 Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 | | | | |
| Cherokee 25.2 Pender 13.1 Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 | | | | |
| Chowan 17.7 Perquimans 16.1 Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Curven 10.9 Robeson 18.6 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | |
| Clay 30.8 Person 14.5 Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Curberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | |
| Cleveland 21.7 Pitt 10.2 Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Curnel 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granwille 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 G | | | - | |
| Columbus 17.3 Polk 14.7 Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 | | | | |
| Craven 10.9 Randolph 14.7 Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | |
| Cumberland 9.5 Richmond 23.8 Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 | | | | |
| Currituck 15.0 Robeson 18.6 Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Waugue 9.7 H | | | | |
| Dare 10.8 Rockingham 15.0 Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Hayood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hok | | | | |
| Davidson 17.9 Rowan 17.1 Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watuga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| Davie 12.7 Rutherford 21.6 Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| Duplin 10.1 Sampson 11.7 Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Durham 4.9 Scotland 21.8 Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Edgecombe 15.0 Stanly 13.8 Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | • | | • | |
| Forsyth 5.1 Stokes 14.2 Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Franklin 12.2 Surry 21.4 Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | - | |
| Gaston 20.0 Swain 29.0 Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Gates 11.5 Transylvania 15.6 Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Graham 34.4 Tyrrell 9.8 Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Granville 11.8 Union 6.8 Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Greene 15.3 Vance 17.1 Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Guilford 6.3 Wake 2.8 Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Halifax 14.0 Warren 16.2 Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Harnett 11.4 Washington 12.1 Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Haywood 18.5 Watauga 9.7 Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Henderson 9.8 Wayne 9.7 Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Hertford 11.7 Wilkes 19.7 Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Hoke 9.3 Wilson 10.7 Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Hyde 5.4 Yadkin 16.4 Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| Iredell 12.3 Yancey 18.5 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Jackson 17.3 | | | Yancey | 18.5 |
| | Jackson | 17.3 | | |

Data Source: Vital Statistics, State Center for Health Statistics.

Percentage of North Carolina Adults Who Are Overweight or Obese* by Region for the North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors and the Area Health Education Centers—BRFSS Survey Results, 2014

| | Percent | C.I. (95%)** |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| North Carolina | 65.6 | 64.1-67.0 |
| North Carolina Associatio | on of Local Health I | Directors |
| Region 1 and 2 | 66.6 | 62.6-70.3 |
| Region 3 | 67.8 | 63.2-72.1 |
| Region 4 | 62.1 | 58.8-65.2 |
| Region 5 | 62.0 | 58.1-65.7 |
| Region 6 | 71.6 | 66.7-76.0 |
| Region 7 | 66.1 | 62.2-69.8 |
| Region 8 | 67.8 | 63.6-71.8 |
| Region 9 and 10 | 67.6 | 63.0-71.9 |
| Area Health Education Co | enters | |
| Mountain AHEC | 64.1 | 59.4-68.6 |
| Northwest | 67.4 | 64.0-70.7 |
| Charlotte | 61.5 | 57.9-65.0 |
| Greensboro | 65.2 | 60.8-69.3 |
| Southern Regional | 70.2 | 65.6-74.5 |
| Southeast | 65.6 | 60.4-70.5 |
| Wake | 62.6 | 58.8-66.3 |
| Area L and Eastern | 70.2 | 66.5-73.6 |

^{*}Body mass index is computed as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared :(kg/m2). Underweight=BMI less than 18.5, Recommended Range=BMI 18.5 to 24.9, Overweight=BMI 25.0 to 29.9 and Obese=BMI 30 or greater.

North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors

Region 1: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain, Transylvania

Region 2: Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Cleveland, Henderson, Madison, Rutherford-Polk-McDowell, Yancey-Mitchell-Avery

Region 3: Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Watauga-Ashe-Alleghany, Wilkes, Yadkin

Region 4: Alexander, Cabarrus, Catawba, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln Mecklenburg, Rowan, Stanly, Union

Region 5: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Durham, Guilford, Orange, Person, Randolph, Rockingham

Region 6: Anson, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, Scotland

Region 7: Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville-Vance, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Wake, Warren, Wilson

Region 8: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, New Hanover, Onslow, Pender, Robeson, Sampson

Region 9: Bertie, Currituck, Dare, Hertford-Gates, Hyde, Martin-Tyrell-Washington, Northampton, Pasquotank-Perquimans-Camden-Chowan

Region 10: Beaufort, Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Lenoir, Pamlico, Pitt, Wayne

Area Health Education Centers

Mountain: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, Yancey

Northwest: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Iredell, Rowan, Stokes, Surry, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin

Charlotte: Anson, Cabarrus, Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Stanly, Union

Greensboro: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Guilford, Montgomery, Orange, Randolph, Rockingham

Southern Regional: Bladen, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Moore, Richmond, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland

Southeast: Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, Pender, New Hanover

Wake: Durham, Franklin, Granville, Johnston, Lee, Person, Vance, Wake, Warren

Area L: Edgecombe, Halifax, Nash, Northampton, Wilson

Eastern: Beaufort, Bertie, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hyde, Jones, Lenoir, Martin, Onslow, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Pitt, Tyrrell, Washington, Wayne

Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, State Center for Health Statistics.

^{**} C.I. (95%) = Confidence Interval (at 95% probability level).

Percentage of North Carolina Adults Reporting Good, Very Good or Excellent Health by Region for the North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors and the Area Health Education Centers—BRFSS Survey Results, 2014

| | Percent | C.I. (95%)** |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| North Carolina | 81.0 | 80.0-92.1 |
| North Carolina Association of | Local Health I | Directors |
| Region 1 and 2 | 75.9 | 72.4-79.0 |
| Region 3 | 78.5 | 74.8-81.8 |
| Region 4 | 83.3 | 81.0-85.4 |
| Region 5 | 82.3 | 79.5-84.9 |
| Region 6 | 77.5 | 72.9-81.6 |
| Region 7 | 83.7 | 80.9-86.1 |
| Region 8 | 78.7 | 75.2-81.7 |
| Region 9 and 10 | 82.8 | 79.3-85.9 |
| Area Health Education Center | rs | |
| Mountain AHEC | 78.5 | 74.6-82.0 |
| Northwest | 78.5 | 75.8-81.1 |
| Charlotte | 82.7 | 80.2-85.0 |
| Greensboro | 81.4 | 78.2-84.3 |
| Southern Regional | 77.1 | 72.9-80.9 |
| Southeast | 77.7 | 73.4-81.6 |
| Wake | 84.9 | 82.2-87.2 |
| Area L and Eastern | 81.6 | 78.8-84.2 |

^{*} C.I. (95%) = Confidence Interval (at 95% probability level).

North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors

Region 1: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain, Transylvania

Region 2: Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Cleveland, Henderson, Madison, Rutherford-Polk-McDowell, Yancey-Mitchell-Avery

Region 3: Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Watauga-Ashe-Alleghany, Wilkes, Yadkin

Region 4: Alexander, Cabarrus, Catawba, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln Mecklenburg, Rowan, Stanly, Union

Region 5: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Durham, Guilford, Orange, Person, Randolph, Rockingham

Region 6: Anson, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, Scotland

Region 7: Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville-Vance, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Wake, Warren, Wilson

Region 8: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, New Hanover, Onslow, Pender, Robeson, Sampson

Region 9: Bertie, Currituck, Dare, Hertford-Gates, Hyde, Martin-Tyrell-Washington, Northampton, Pasquotank-Perquimans-Camden-Chowan

Region 10: Beaufort, Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Lenoir, Pamlico, Pitt, Wayne

Area Health Education Centers

Mountain: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, Yancey

Northwest: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Iredell, Rowan, Stokes, Surry, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin

Charlotte: Anson, Cabarrus, Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Stanly, Union

Greensboro: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Guilford, Montgomery, Orange, Randolph, Rockingham

Southern Regional: Bladen, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Moore, Richmond, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland

Southeast: Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, Pender, New Hanover

Wake: Durham, Franklin, Granville, Johnston, Lee, Person, Vance, Wake, Warren

Area L: Edgecombe, Halifax, Nash, Northampton, Wilson

Eastern: Beaufort, Bertie, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hyde, Jones, Lenoir, Martin, Onslow, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Pitt, Tyrrell, Washington, Wayne

Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, State Center for Health Statistics.

North Carolina Infant Mortality Rate (per 1,000 Live Births) by County of Residence, 2010–2014

| | Infant | Infant | | Infant | Infant |
|-------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Residence | Deaths | Mortality Rate | Residence | Deaths | Mortality Rate |
| State Total | 4,295 | 7.1 | Johnston | 69 | 6.2 |
| Alamance | 75 | 8.5 | Jones | 7 | 13.8 |
| Alexander | 8 | 4.5 | Lee | 36 | 8.8 |
| Alleghany | 1 | 2.2 | Lenoir | 31 | 9.2 |
| Anson | 12 | 9.2 | Lincoln | 22 | 5.7 |
| Ashe | 7 | 5.7 | McDowell | 12 | 5.2 |
| Avery | 9 | 12.4 | Macon | 11 | 6.7 |
| Beaufort | 26 | 10.5 | Madison | 9 | 9.7 |
| Bertie | 10 | 10.8 | Martin | 6 | 5.0 |
| Bladen | 16 | 8.8 | Mecklenburg | 409 | 5.9 |
| Brunswick | 34 | 6.5 | Mitchell | 2 | 2.9 |
| Buncombe | 86 | 6.6 | Montgomery | 22 | 13.5 |
| Burke | 29 | 6.7 | Moore | 23 | 4.7 |
| Cabarrus | 54 | 4.7 | Nash | 42 | 7.6 |
| Caldwell | 42 | 10.4 | New Hanover | 44 | 3.9 |
| Camden | 3 | 6.6 | Northampton | 11 | 11.7 |
| Carteret | 25 | 8.1 | Onslow | 143 | 6.6 |
| Caswell | 9 | 8.6 | Orange | 28 | 4.5 |
| Catawba | 53 | 6.0 | Pamlico | 7 | 15.2 |
| Chatham | 26 | 8.3 | Pasquotank | 15 | 6.0 |
| Cherokee | 11 | 10.0 | Pender | 22 | 7.3 |
| Chowan | 6 | 7.9 | Perquimans | 7 | 10.6 |
| Clay | 2 | 4.9 | Person | 15 | 7.2 |
| Cleveland | 49 | 9.0 | Pitt | 115 | 10.8 |
| Columbus | 35 | 10.9 | Polk | 3 | 4.3 |
| Craven | 56 | 7.0 | Randolph | 47 | 5.8 |
| Cumberland | 242 | 8.4 | Richmond | 24 | 8.7 |
| Currituck | 10 | 8.3 | Robeson | 115 | 12.0 |
| Dare | 10 | 5.5 | Rockingham | 45 | 9.6 |
| Davidson | 53 | 6.1 | Rowan | 61 | 7.9 |
| Davie | 11 | 5.8 | Rutherford | 24 | 7.0 |
| Duplin | 28 | 7.3 | Sampson | 38 | 8.9 |
| Durham | 147 | 6.8 | Scotland | 27 | 11.7 |
| Edgecombe | 27 | 8.2 | Stanly | 20 | 6.1 |
| Forsyth | 195 | 8.5 | Stokes | 17 | 8.4 |
| Franklin | 22 | 6.6 | Surry | 24 | 6.2 |
| Gaston | 97 | 7.7 | Swain | 10 | 10.2 |
| Gates | 2 | 3.7 | Transylvania | 10 | 7.4 |
| Graham | 2 | 4.3 | Tyrrell | 4 | 18.8 |
| Granville | 20 | 7.1 | Union | 65 | 5.5 |
| Greene | 7 | 6.2 | Vance | 28 | 9.7 |
| Guilford | 252 | 8.3 | Wake | 370 | 5.9 |
| Halifax | 32 | 10.9 | Warren | 10 | 10.7 |
| Harnett | 77 | 8.4 | Washington | 6 | 9.2 |
| Haywood | 12 | 4.4 | Watauga | 5 | 2.8 |
| Henderson | 27 | 5.1 | Wayne | 64 | 7.5 |
| Hertford | 18 | 15.1 | Wilkes | 31 | 9.2 |
| Hoke | 23 | 4.9 | Wilson | 40 | 8.3 |
| Hyde | 4 | 15.6 | Yadkin | 15 | 7.7 |
| Iredell | 64 | 7.3 | Yancey | 6 | 7.0 |
| Jackson | 12 | 6.1 | | | |

Note: Rates based on less than 10 deaths are unreliable and should be interpreted with caution.

Data Source: Vital Statistics, State Center for Health Statistics.

North Carolina Infant Mortality Racial Disparity between Whites and African Americans by County of Residence, 2010–2014

| | White, Non-Hispanic | | African Amer | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Infant | Infant | Infant | Infant | Disparity |
| Residence | Deaths | Mortality Rate | Deaths | Mortality Rate | Ratio |
| State | 1,811 | 5.4 | 1,858 | 12.9 | 2.39 |
| Alamance | 38 | 7.7 | 28 | 15.1 | 1.96 |
| Alexander | 6 | 3.9 | 1 | 14.1 | 3.62 |
| Alleghany | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Anson | 4 | 7.9 | 8 | 10.9 | 1.38 |
| Ashe | 6 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Avery | 9 | 14.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Beaufort | 12 | 9.2 | 14 | 17.8 | 1.93 |
| Bertie | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 15.5 | * |
| Bladen | 7 | 8.3 | 7 | 10.7 | 1.29 |
| Brunswick | 27 | 6.8 | 6 | 9.2 | 1.35 |
| Buncombe | 62 | 6.1 | 17 | 15.9 | 2.61 |
| Burke | 22 | 6.7 | 2 | 10.0 | 1.49 |
| Cabarrus | 33 | 4.6 | 13 | 6.6 | 1.43 |
| Caldwell | 34 | 9.9 | 3 | 14.3 | 1.44 |
| Camden | 3 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Carteret | 20 | 7.8 | 2 | 10.7 | 1.37 |
| Caswell | 2 | 3.0 | 6 | 20.3 | 6.77 |
| Catawba | 29 | 4.9 | 16 | 17.6 | 3.59 |
| Chatham | 15 | 8.4 | 4 | 11.1 | 1.32 |
| Cherokee | 8 | 8.4 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Chowan | | | | | |
| | 2 2 | 5.3 | 3 | 9.1 | 1.72 |
| Clay Cleveland | | 5.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| | 23 | 6.3 | 25 | 17.7 | 2.81 |
| Columbus | 9 | 5.4 | 19 | 17.4 | 3.22 |
| Craven | 40 | 7.8 | 13 | 8.0 | 1.03 |
| Cumberland | 84 | 6.1 | 132 | 13.2 | 2.16 |
| Currituck | 7 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Dare | 6 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Davidson | 33 | 5.1 | 14 | 16.7 | 3.27 |
| Davie | 9 | 5.9 | 2 | 18.5 | 3.14 |
| Duplin | 12 | 8.1 | 12 | 14.0 | 1.73 |
| Durham | 32 | 4.0 | 96 | 13.2 | 3.30 |
| Edgecombe | 6 | 6.8 | 21 | 9.7 | 1.43 |
| Forsyth | 67 | 6.3 | 94 | 14.2 | 2.25 |
| Franklin | 13 | 6.6 | 8 | 8.8 | 1.33 |
| Gaston | 50 | 5.7 | 44 | 18.5 | 3.25 |
| Gates | 1 | 2.7 | 1 | 6.6 | 2.44 |
| Graham | 2 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Granville | 10 | 6.4 | 6 | 7.0 | 1.09 |
| Greene | 2 | 4.4 | 5 | 13.3 | 3.02 |
| Guilford | 68 | 5.5 | 152 | 12.6 | 2.29 |
| Halifax | 6 | 6.7 | 26 | 14.5 | 2.16 |
| Harnett | 37 | 6.6 | 33 | 17.8 | 2.70 |
| Haywood | 10 | 4.0 | 1 | 26.3 | 6.58 |
| Henderson | 21 | 5.2 | 1 | 4.8 | 0.92 |
| Hertford | 5 | 15.0 | 13 | 16.3 | 1.09 |
| Hoke | 8 | 3.4 | 8 | 7.0 | 2.06 |
| Hyde | 3 | 17.0 | 1 | 21.7 | 1.28 |
| Iredell | 26 | 4.2 | 31 | 24.1 | 5.74 |
| Jackson | 26 10 | 4.2 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Johnston | 37 | | | | |
| | | 5.3 | 18 | 10.5 | 1.98 |
| Jones | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 42 | |
| Lee | 12 | 6.2 | 16 | 19.3 | 3.11 |

North Carolina Infant Mortality Racial Disparity between Whites and African Americans by County of Residence, 2010–2014

| | White, Non-Hispanic | | African Amer | | |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| · | Infant | Infant | Infant | Infant | Disparity |
| Residence | Deaths | Mortality Rate | Deaths | Mortality Rate | Ratio |
| Lenoir | 10 | 7.5 | 20 | 13.0 | 1.73 |
| Lincoln | 14 | 4.4 | 7 | 32.9 | 7.48 |
| McDowell | 10 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Macon | 11 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Madison | 9 | 10.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Martin | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 10.6 | * |
| Mecklenburg | 102 | 3.5 | 220 | 9.9 | 2.83 |
| Mitchell | 2 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Montgomery | 6 | 7.7 | 9 | 27.5 | 3.57 |
| Moore | 11 | 3.2 | 7 | 8.7 | 2.72 |
| Nash | 7 | 3.1 | 32 | 12.9 | 4.16 |
| New Hanover | 22 | 2.9 | 19 | 9.0 | 3.10 |
| Northampton | 1 | 3.6 | 10 | 16.5 | 4.58 |
| Onslow | 79 | 5.1 | 37 | 13.6 | 2.67 |
| Orange | 12 | 3.3 | 11 | 12.4 | 3.76 |
| Pamlico | 5 | 14.6 | 2 | 25.0 | 1.71 |
| Pasquotank | 5 | 3.7 | 10 | 10.6 | 2.86 |
| Pender | 6 | 2.8 | 13 | 28.1 | 10.00 |
| Perquimans | 3 | 6.3 | 3 | 19.5 | 3.10 |
| Person | 3 | 2.3 | 12 | 19.7 | 8.57 |
| Pitt | 33 | 6.6 | 74 | 16.9 | 2.56 |
| Polk | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Randolph | 33 | 5.7 | 5 | 9.6 | 1.68 |
| Richmond | 8 | 5.7 | 14 | 15.0 | 2.63 |
| Robeson | 20 | 10.9 | 33 | 15.1 | 1.39 |
| Rockingham | 23 | 7.1 | 21 | 23.2 | 3.27 |
| Rowan | 38 | 7.4 | 18 | 13.0 | 1.76 |
| Rutherford | 16 | 5.8 | 4 | 10.1 | 1.74 |
| Sampson | 14 | 8.6 | 14 | 13.7 | 1.59 |
| Scotland | 10 | 12.8 | 14 | 13.3 | 1.04 |
| Stanly | 8 | 3.2 | 11 | 24.1 | 7.53 |
| Stokes | 16 | 8.5 | 1 | 14.1 | 1.66 |
| Surry | 21 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.5 | 1.06 |
| Swain | 4 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Transylvania | 9 | 7.7 | 1 | 18.9 | 2.45 |
| Tyrrell | 3 | 26.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| Union | 21 | 2.7 | 27 | 15.7 | 5.81 |
| Vance | 4 | 4.7 | 21 | 12.8 | 2.72 |
| Wake | 138 | 4.1 | 167 | 11.8 | 2.88 |
| Warren | 2 | 7.0 | 8 | 14.6 | 2.09 |
| Washington | 2 | 9.0 | 4 | 10.8 | 1.20 |
| Watauga | 3 | 1.9 | 1 | 31.3 | 16.5 |
| Wayne | 23 | 5.8 | 37 | 13.4 | 2.31 |
| Wilkes | 24 | 8.6 | 2 | 14.2 | 1.65 |
| Wilson | 10 | 5.6 | 23 | 11.0 | 1.96 |
| Yadkin | 11 | 7.4 | 1 | 15.9 | 2.15 |
| Yancey | 6 | 8.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |

^{*}Disparity exists, however ratio cannot be calculated because there were zero infant deaths to non-Hispanic whites.

Note: Rates based on less than 10 deaths are unreliable and should be interpreted with caution.

 ${\it Data\ Source:}\ Vital\ Statistics,\ State\ Center\ for\ Health\ Statistics.$

North Carolina Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Rate (per 100,000 Population) by County of Residence, 2010–2014

| | Age-Adjusted* | | Age-Adjusted* |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Residence | Death Rate | Residence | Death Rate |
| State Total | 17.6 | Johnston | 16.4 |
| Alamance | 15.1 | Jones | ** |
| Alexander | 21.0 | Lee | 16.8 |
| Alleghany | ** | Lenoir | 17.2 |
| Anson | 19.0 | Lincoln | 18.7 |
| Ashe | 21.5 | McDowell | 20.8 |
| Avery | 37.3 | Macon | 14.1 |
| Beaufort | 11.9 | Madison | 23.2 |
| Bertie | ** | Martin | 12.3 |
| Bladen | 16.4 | Mecklenburg | 14.0 |
| Brunswick | 13.4 | Mitchell | 20.2 |
| Buncombe | 15.4 | Montgomery | 23.3 |
| Burke | 21.7 | Moore | 12.5 |
| Cabarrus | 22.7 | Nash | 23.4 |
| Caldwell | 25.3 | New Hanover | 11.2 |
| Canden | 23.3 ** | | 12.8 |
| Carteret | 14.1 | Northampton | |
| | | Onslow | 13.4 |
| Caswell | 17.8 | Orange | 13.7 |
| Catawba | 22.1 | Pamlico | |
| Chatham | 11.2 | Pasquotank | 18.8 |
| Cherokee | 14.3 | Pender | 15.7 |
| Chowan | ** | Perquimans | ** |
| Clay | ** | Person | 22.3 |
| Cleveland | 28.0 | Pitt | 10.6 |
| Columbus | 21.0 | Polk | 17.1 |
| Craven | 17.8 | Randolph | 20.4 |
| Cumberland | 19.6 | Richmond | 12.0 |
| Currituck | 97.2 | Robeson | 14.6 |
| Dare | 59.8 | Rockingham | 31.0 |
| Davidson | 20.6 | Rowan | 31.4 |
| Davie | 20.9 | Rutherford | 16.6 |
| Duplin | 17.0 | Sampson | 14.2 |
| Durham | 14.4 | Scotland | 12.1 |
| Edgecombe | 19.7 | Stanly | 18.8 |
| Forsyth | 18.8 | Stokes | 21.0 |
| Franklin | 20.5 | Surry | 20.0 |
| Gaston | 27.9 | Swain | 25.0 |
| Gates | ** | Transylvania | 11.4 |
| Graham | ** | Tyrrell | 11. 4 ** |
| Granville | 14.3 | | |
| Greene | 14.3 ** | Union | 16.7 |
| | | Vance | 28.2 |
| Guilford | 15.5 | Wake | 10.6 |
| Halifax | 18.8 | Warren | 20.0 |
| Harnett | 14.6 | Washington | ** |
| Haywood | 18.5 | Watauga | 13.4 |
| Henderson | 16.5 | Wayne | 13.3 |
| Hertford | 13.4 | Wilkes | 30.9 |
| Hoke | 17.9 | Wilson | 21.1 |
| Hyde | ** | Yadkin | 25.2 |
| Iredell | 20.1 | Yancey | 17.5 |
| Jackson | 18.4 | - | |

^{*}An age-adjusted death rate is a death rate that controls for the effects of differences in population age distributions.

Data Source: Vital Statistics, State Center for Health Statistics.

^{**}Death rates with a small number (<50) of deaths in the numerator should be interpreted with caution.

North Carolina Traffic Crashes That Are Alcohol-Related By County of Crash, 2014

| County | Percentage | County | Percentage |
|-------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| State Total | 4.8 | Johnston | 6.2 |
| Alamance | 5.2 | Jones | 5.3 |
| Alexander | 4.4 | Lee | 4.2 |
| Alleghany | 8.3 | Lenoir | 6.4 |
| Anson | 5.5 | Lincoln | 4.9 |
| Ashe | 5.4 | McDowell | 4.2 |
| Avery | 5.4 | Macon | 5.5 |
| Beaufort | 4.9 | Madison | 6.1 |
| Bertie | 4.5 | Martin | 6.8 |
| Bladen | 4.3 | Mecklenburg | 4.0 |
| Brunswick | 5.5 | Mitchell | 4.0 |
| Buncombe | 5.0 | Montgomery | 7.0 |
| Burke | 4.2 | Moore | 4.2 |
| Cabarrus | 4.0 | Nash | 6.4 |
| Caldwell | 5.4 | New Hanover | 4.5 |
| Camden | 4.8 | Northampton | 7.9 |
| Carteret | 4.8 | Onslow | 6.4 |
| Caswell | 7.0 | Orange | 6.1 |
| Catawba | 4.3 | Pamlico | 4.9 |
| Chatham | 5.6 | Pasquotank | 4.8 |
| Cherokee | 7.2 | Pender | 7.0 |
| Chowan | 4.2 | Perquimans | 5.2 |
| Clay | 5.7 | Person | 5.7 |
| Cleveland | 4.6 | Pitt | 4.0 |
| Columbus | 5.0 | Polk | 2.4 |
| Craven | 3.0 4.4 | | 5.0 |
| Cumberland | 4.4 | Randolph Richmond | 5.3 |
| Currituck | | | |
| Dare | 9.6 4.5 | Robeson | 6.6 |
| Davidson | | Rockingham | 6.1 |
| | 4.5 | Rowan | 4.8 |
| Davie | 4.2 | Rutherford | 5.2 |
| Duplin | 7.6 | Sampson | 4.8 |
| Durham | 3.4 | Scotland | 5.1 |
| Edgecombe | 6.8 | Stanly | 4.5 |
| Forsyth | 4.5 | Stokes | 5.0 |
| Franklin | 7.8 | Surry | 6.3 |
| Gaston | 4.8 | Swain | 7.3 |
| Gates | 3.8 | Transylvania | 6.2 |
| Graham | 1.6 | Tyrrell | 3.3 |
| Granville | 4.2 | Union | 4.4 |
| Greene | 4.2 | Vance | 5.1 |
| Guilford | 5.1 | Wake | 4.1 |
| Halifax | 5.3 | Warren | 6.6 |
| Harnett | 5.5 | Washington | 4.7 |
| Haywood | 5.2 | Watauga | 5.1 |
| Henderson | 5.4 | Wayne | 7.2 |
| Hertford | 5.4 | Wilkes | 4.1 |
| Hoke | 4.7 | Wilson | 5.3 |
| Hyde | 4.6 | Yadkin | 6.3 |
| Iredell | 4.4 | Yancey | 7.0 |
| Jackson | 7.4 | - | |

Data Source: Highway Safety Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

North Carolina Cardiovascular Disease Mortality Rate (per 100,000 Population) by County of Residence, 2010–2014

| | Age-Adjusted* | | Age-Adjusted* |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Residence | Death Rate | Residence | Death Rate |
| State Total | 224.4 | Johnston | 259.8 |
| Alamance | 225.2 | Jones | 299.1 |
| Alexander | 208.9 | Lee | 243.2 |
| Alleghany | 202.9 | Lenoir | 290.7 |
| Anson | 309.8 | Lincoln | 259.0 |
| Ashe | 216.2 | McDowell | 247.3 |
| Avery | 210.6 | Macon | 217.3 |
| Beaufort | 264.7 | Madison | 248.6 |
| Bertie | 268.9 | Martin | 334.1 |
| Bladen | 296.8 | Mecklenburg | 183.5 |
| Brunswick | 215.1 | Mitchell | 255.6 |
| Buncombe | 198.2 | Montgomery | 200.4 |
| Burke | 257.4 | Moore | 174.5 |
| Cabarrus | 219.2 | Nash | 259.5 |
| Caldwell | 262.6 | New Hanover | 216.7 |
| Camden | 233.9 | Northampton | 231.7 |
| Carteret | 229.9 | Onslow | 213.9 |
| Caswell | 231.4 | Orange | 163.2 |
| Catawba | 238.9 | Pamlico | 220.7 |
| Chatham | 165.6 | Pasquotank | 280.7 |
| Cherokee | 249.7 | Pender | 210.4 |
| Chowan | 243.5 | Perquimans | 246.1 |
| Clay | 196.9 | Person | 255.5 |
| Cleveland | 283.5 | Pitt | 236.0 |
| Columbus | 335.6 | Polk | 175.9 |
| Craven | 226.9 | Randolph | 236.7 |
| Cumberland | 256.9 | Richmond | |
| Currituck | 230.9 | Robeson | 327.4 273.4 |
| | 239.4 | | |
| Dare Davidson | 213.3 252.7 | Rockingham | 257.0 |
| Davidson | | Rowan | 257.6 |
| | 191.2 232.4 | Rutherford | 293.5 |
| Duplin | | Sampson | 252.0 |
| Durham | 185.3 | Scotland | 279.4 |
| Edgecombe | 307.7 | Stanly | 287.7 |
| Forsyth | 201.5 | Stokes | 246.2 |
| Franklin | 218.6 | Surry | 235.1 |
| Gaston | 259.1 | Swain | 297.1 |
| Gates | 229.0 | Transylvania | 187.9 |
| Graham | 257.5 | Tyrrell | 258.7 |
| Granville | 198.1 | Union | 220.8 |
| Greene | 256.1 | Vance | 257.5 |
| Guilford | 200.3 | Wake | 183.6 |
| Halifax | 281.5 | Warren | 228.0 |
| Harnett | 255.8 | Washington | 314.3 |
| Haywood | 239.0 | Watauga | 183.6 |
| Henderson | 189.2 | Wayne | 242.0 |
| Hertford | 249.3 | Wilkes | 211.3 |
| Hoke | 253.1 | Wilson | 243.2 |
| Hyde | 234.5 | Yadkin | 262.8 |
| Iredell | 245.6 | Yancey | 226.2 |
| Jackson | 203.8 | | |

^{*}An age-adjusted death rate is a death rate that controls for the effects of differences in population age distributions. *Data Source:* Vital Statistics, State Center for Health Statistics.

Percentage of North Carolina Adults with Diabetes by Region for the North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors and the Area Health Education Centers—BRFSS Survey Results, 2014

| | Percent | C.I. (95%)* | | |
|---|---------|-------------|--|--|
| North Carolina | 10.8 | 10.1-11.6 | | |
| North Carolina. Association of Local Health Directors | | | | |
| Region 1 and 2 | 10.9 | 8.9-13.4 | | |
| Region 3 | 13.3 | 10.7-16.3 | | |
| Region 4 | 10.8 | 9.2-12.6 | | |
| Region 5 | 9.9 | 8.2-12.0 | | |
| Region 6 | 11.9 | 9.1-15.5 | | |
| Region 7 | 9.3 | 7.5-11.5 | | |
| Region 8 | 12.3 | 10.1-14.8 | | |
| Region 9 and 10 | 10.1 | 8.1-12.7 | | |
| Area Health Education Co | enters | | | |
| Mountain AHEC | 8.9 | 7.0-11.3 | | |
| Northwest | 13.5 | 11.5-15.8 | | |
| Charlotte | 10.5 | 8.7-12.6 | | |
| Greensboro | 11.3 | 9.2-13.9 | | |
| Southern Regional | 13.1 | 10.4-16.4 | | |
| Southeast | 12.8 | 10.1-16.1 | | |
| Wake | 7.5 | 6.0- 9.4 | | |
| Area L and Eastern | 10.6 | 8.8-12.7 | | |

Current diabetes prevalence represents the percentage of survey respondents who report "yes" to the survey question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER told you that you had diabetes?"

North Carolina Association of Local Health Directors

- Region 1: Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Jackson, Macon, Swain, Transylvania
- Region 2: Buncombe, Burke, Caldwell, Cleveland, Henderson, Madison, Rutherford-Polk-McDowell, Yancey-Mitchell-Avery
- Region 3: Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Stokes, Surry, Watauga-Ashe-Alleghany, Wilkes, Yadkin
- Region 4: Alexander, Cabarrus, Catawba, Gaston, Iredell, Lincoln Mecklenburg, Rowan, Stanly, Union
- Region 5: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Durham, Guilford, Orange, Person, Randolph, Rockingham
- Region 6: Anson, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Lee, Montgomery, Moore, Richmond, Scotland
- Region 7: Edgecombe, Franklin, Granville-Vance, Halifax, Johnston, Nash, Wake, Warren, Wilson
- Region 8: Bladen, Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, New Hanover, Onslow, Pender, Robeson, Sampson
- Region 9: Bertie, Currituck, Dare, Hertford-Gates, Hyde, Martin-Tyrell-Washington, Northampton, Pasquotank-Perquimans-Camden-Chowan
- Region 10: Beaufort, Carteret, Craven, Greene, Jones, Lenoir, Pamlico, Pitt, Wayne

Area Health Education Centers

Mountain: Buncombe, Cherokee, Clay, Graham, Haywood, Henderson, Jackson, Macon, Madison, McDowell, Mitchell, Polk, Rutherford, Swain, Transylvania, Yancey

Northwest: Alexander, Alleghany, Ashe, Avery, Burke, Caldwell, Catawba, Davidson, Davie, Forsyth, Iredell, Rowan, Stokes, Surry, Watauga, Wilkes, Yadkin

Charlotte: Anson, Cabarrus, Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Stanly, Union

Greensboro: Alamance, Caswell, Chatham, Guilford, Montgomery, Orange, Randolph, Rockingham

Southern Regional: Bladen, Cumberland, Harnett, Hoke, Moore, Richmond, Robeson, Sampson, Scotland

Southeast: Brunswick, Columbus, Duplin, Pender, New Hanover

Wake: Durham, Franklin, Granville, Johnston, Lee, Person, Vance, Wake, Warren

Area L: Edgecombe, Halifax, Nash, Northampton, Wilson

Eastern: Beaufort, Bertie, Camden, Carteret, Chowan, Craven, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Greene, Hertford, Hyde, Jones, Lenoir, Martin, Onslow, Pamlico, Pasquotank, Perquimans, Pitt, Tyrrell, Washington, Wayne

^{*} C.I. (95%) = Confidence Interval (at 95% probability level).

North Carolina Life Expectancies at Birth by County of Residence, 2012-2014

| Residence State Total Alamance Alexander | Life Expectancy at Birth 78.3 77.3 | Residence Johnston | Life Expectancy at Birth |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Alamance Alexander | | Johnston | |
| Alexander | 77.3 | | 78.2 |
| | | Jones | 77.3 |
| | 78.4 | Lee | 77.7 |
| Alleghany | 79.8 | Lenoir | 75.2 |
| Anson | 75.1 | Lincoln | 77.8 |
| Ashe | 77.5 | McDowell | 76.6 |
| Avery | 79.5 | Macon | 78.3 |
| Beaufort | 76.1 | Madison | 77.9 |
| Bertie | 76.6 | Martin | 75.6 |
| Bladen | 75.3 | Mecklenburg | 80.4 |
| Brunswick | 78.4 | Mitchell | 77.4 |
| Buncombe | 79.1 | Montgomery | 77.6 |
| Burke | 79.1 76.4 | Moore | 80 |
| Cabarrus | 78.4 78.4 | Nash | 76.7 |
| Caldwell | 75.4 75.8 | New Hanover | 70.7 79.7 |
| | | | 79.7 76.2 |
| Camden | 80.3 | Northampton | |
| Carteret | 78.7 | Onslow | 78.3 |
| Caswell | 76.5 | Orange | 82 |
| Catawba | 76.8 | Pamlico | 77.5 |
| Chatham | 82 | Pasquotank | 77.5 |
| Cherokee | 76.5 | Pender | 78.4 |
| Chowan | 78.7 | Perquimans | 78.6 |
| Clay | 78.2 | Person | 76.9 |
| Cleveland | 74.7 | Pitt | 78.2 |
| Columbus | 73.6 | Polk | 79.7 |
| Craven | 77.9 | Randolph | 76.9 |
| Cumberland | 76.3 | Richmond | 74.8 |
| Currituck | 77.2 | Robeson | 73.9 |
| Dare | 79.6 | Rockingham | 75.8 |
| Davidson | 76.5 | Rowan | 75.4 |
| Davie | 79 | Rutherford | 75.8 |
| Duplin | 78.4 | Sampson | 76.4 |
| Durham | 79.9 | Scotland | 74.8 |
| Edgecombe | 75.2 | Stanly | 76.9 |
| Forsyth | 73.2 78.3 | Stokes | 78 |
| Franklin | 76.3 77.7 | Surry | 77.1 |
| Gaston | | Swain | 73.6 |
| | 75.6 | | |
| Gates | 79.4 | Transylvania | 80.6 |
| Graham | 75.1 | Tyrrell | 79.4 |
| Granville | 78 | Union | 79.5 |
| Greene | 78.2 | Vance | 74.9 |
| Guilford | 79 | Wake | 81.5 |
| Halifax | 74.6 | Warren | 78.1 |
| Harnett | 76.6 | Washington | 78.7 |
| Haywood | 78.1 | Watauga | 82.2 |
| Henderson | 79.5 | Wayne | 77.3 |
| Hertford | 76.3 | Wilkes | 76.4 |
| Hoke | 77.5 | Wilson | 77.1 |
| Hyde | 80 | Yadkin | 76.8 |
| Iredell | 77.4 | Yancey | 77.8 |
| Jackson | 79.2 | | •0 |

Life expectancy is the average number of additional years that an infant born between 2012–2014 would be expected to live if current mortality conditions remained constant throughout his or her lifetime.

Data Source: Vital Statistics, State Center for Health Statistics.